arXiv:1610.00230v2 [math.NT] 17 Oct 2016

A NOTE ON SPECTRAL ANALYSIS FOR GL_2: II

HAN WU

Abstract. We generalize Zagier's work on regularized integral to the adelic setting and to smooth Eisenstein series, guided by a spectral analytic viewpoint. We prove a singular case of the triple product formula for non rapidly decreasing functions constructed from the theory of regularized integral. The formula will be applied to a subconvexity problem.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Bounds of Smooth Eisenstein Series 2
2.1. General Remarks 2
2.2. Bounds of Non Constant Terms 4
2.3. Behavior of Constant Term 13
2.4. A Convergence Lemma 15
3. Zagier's Regularized Integral 18
3.1. Regularized Integral 18
3.2. Extended Spectral Decomposition 22
4. A Triple Product Formula 24
Acknowledgement 27
References 28

1. Introduction

Trying to enlarge the applicability of the Rankin-Selberg method, Zagier [12] invented the regularized integral in automorphic representation theory for GL_2. There are many different viewpoints on the idea of regularization which lead to different definitions of it [8, Section 4.3]. We shall take one of them in this note and develop it for as large class of functions as we can. Namely, we shall take a spectral analytic viewpoint on the equation [12, (36)].

It would be enlightening to start from the situation of a compact (linear) group $\mathbf{G}$. In this case, the space of smooth functions $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbf{G})$ forms a ring with unity. Moreover, we can decompose every function in $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbf{G})$ as a convergent sum of matrix coefficients (Peter-Weyl theorem). It seems to be particularly interesting for physicists to spectrally decompose the product of two functions in two irreducible representations occurring in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{G})$, since they are related to the *Clebsch-Gordon formula* [7, Chapter 13]. In fact, generalizing the Clebsch-Gordon formula to the automorphic representation theory is one of our ways to understand the triple product formulae [4] and Zagier's theory of regularized integral. In the case of orthogonal groups, this theory also benefits coding theorists [2, Section 9.2.3 (26)]. Note that the very special case for $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ gives the classical theory of Fourier series.

Passing to the non-compact case, for example $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{R}$, we still have the ring of Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ of which we can spectrally decompose every element and get Fourier inversion. But this ring is without unity. In fact, the "unity" together with all other "irreducible components" $e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i\xi x}$ in the Fourier inversion formula lie outside of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. However, if we simply add them and form

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}} e_\xi,$$

we still get a ring with unity. We can again spectrally decompose a product of two elements once we subtract the non square integral part.

In this note, we shall find a similar phenomenon in the case of the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ over a number field $\mathbf{F}$ by generalizing Zagier's original work to adelic setting and to smooth Eisenstein series (Theorem 3.11). More precisely, we will find spaces $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega)$ (Definition 3.13) which includes $\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega)$ and Eisenstein series such that $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega) \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega') \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega\omega')$. In particular $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, 1)$ is a ring with unity. Moreover, only for a small special subset of functions in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2, \omega)$ we do not know if we can find the non square integral part as a finite sum of Eisenstein series (Proposition 3.19). Note that this space contains a lot of interesting functions smooth with respect to the group representation which are not of rapid decay.

We will then exploit a special case of the triple product formula for purely Eisenstein series constructed from the above developed theory of regularized integrals. This can be viewed as a complementary case to the triple product formula of Ichino [4]. Namely writing $\pi_s = \pi(|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^s, |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-s})$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we are interested in

$$\ell(s, \cdot) = \ell(s, \mathrm{reg}; \cdot) : \pi_0^\infty \times \pi_0^\infty \times \pi_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^\infty \to \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.1}$$

which has the form

$$\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \varphi_1(g)\varphi_2(g)\varphi_3(g)dg$$

where φ_j for $j = 1, 2$ resp. $\varphi_3 = \varphi_3(s)$ is some Eisenstein series constructed from sections in π_0^∞ resp. $\pi_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^\infty$. We will make the precise choice of the family in s of the sections in $\pi_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^\infty$ in the last part of this paper. We shall study the behavior of $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}, n; \cdot) := \dfrac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}; \cdot)$ around $s = 0$ and get:

Theorem 1.1. (1) *The trilinear form $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}, n)$ is meromorphic for $s \neq 0, -1 < \Re s < 1/2$ with a pole of order $n + 4$ at $s = 0$.*

(2) *At $s \neq 0$ we have $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}, n) = \dfrac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\ell(s, \mathrm{reg})$.*

(3) *$\ell^{\mathrm{hol}}(0, \mathrm{reg}, n) - \ell(0, \mathrm{reg}, n)$ and each polar part of $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}, n)$ are linear combinations of the degenerate trilinear forms $\ell(\vec{\epsilon}, \mathrm{dgn})$ with coefficients depending only on $\mathbf{F}$ (the zeta-function $\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}$) and $\epsilon_3 \in \{*\} \cup \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq k \leq n + 3\}$.*

Finally we shall compare the above trilinear form $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}; \cdot)$ with another one $\ell(s, \mathrm{RS})$ constructed from some normalized Rankin-Selberg local terms using the Whittaker models.

Theorem 1.2. *The two trilinear forms are related for $s \neq 0$ by*

$$\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}) = c_{\mathbf{F}}(s)\ell(s, \mathrm{RS})$$

where $c_{\mathbf{F}}(s)$ is an explicitly computable function related to $\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(s)$, meromorphic for $-1 < \Re s < 1/2$ and with a pole of order 4 at $s = 0$.

All missing notations will be defined and explained in the last section.

This note was part of a former preprint on a Burgess-like subconvexity for GL_1. We extract and polish it as an independent article because of its independent interests. The main results (Theorem 1.1, 1.2) will be applied to the original problem of subconvexity in a future paper.

2. Bounds of Smooth Eisenstein Series

2.1. **General Remarks.** We take the notations and assumptions in [10]. Namely we fix a section $s_{\mathbf{F}} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times$ and assume the Hecke characters ω, ξ to be trivial on the image of $s_{\mathbf{F}}$. We then have the definition of the Eisenstein series $\mathrm{E}(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; f)$ for $f \in V_{\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}^\infty$.

Remark 2.1. *We will sometimes omit $\xi, \omega\xi^{-1}$ and write $\mathrm{E}(s, f)$ when it is clear from the context.*

In [10], we studied the size of $\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)$. For the purpose of the present paper, we need something finer. Precisely, we shall decompose it as

$$\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)=\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)+\big(\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)-\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)\big)\ \text{with}$$

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g):=\int_{\mathbf{F}\backslash\mathbb{A}}\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(n(x)g)dx,n(x)=\begin{pmatrix}1&x\\&1\end{pmatrix}$$

and study the growth in g of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)$ and $\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)-\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)$ separately, as well as all their derivatives with respect to s.

The study of the constant term is reduced to the study of the intertwining operator, which is already done in [10]. We focus on

$$\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)-\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times}W(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(a(\alpha)g)\ \text{with}\ a(\alpha)=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha&\\&1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and}\ W(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)=\int_{\mathbf{F}\backslash\mathbb{A}}\psi(-x)\mathrm{E}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(n(x)g)dx,$$

where ψ is the standard additive character of $\mathbf{F}\backslash\mathbb{A}$. We are thus reduced to the study of the Whittaker functions $W(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)$. If we were only interested in $W(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)$ itself, then its behavior is already completely clear by [5] or more generally with the "singular" cases by [6, Proposition 2.2]. However, we need a bit more for our purpose in this paper. Namely, we also need to estimate $\dfrac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}W(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(g)$. Then not the results of *loc.cit.* but the method serves, i.e., the method of integral representation of Whittaker functions.

If $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is a Schwartz function, we can define the following (non flat) section in $V^\infty_{s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}$

$$f_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};g)=\xi(\det g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}+s}\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\Phi((0,t)g)\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{1+2s}d^\times t$$

first defined for $\Re s>0$ then meromorphically continued to $s\in\mathbb{C}$. Given $f\in V^\infty_{0,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}$, we want to give an explicit Φ associated with f. For simplicity of notations, we may assume f to be a pure tensor. We then construct $\Phi=\otimes'_v\Phi_v$ place by place:

(1) At $\mathbf{F}_v=\mathbb{C}$ resp. $\mathbf{F}_v=\mathbb{R}$ and for f_v spherical resp. not spherical, we choose Φ_v using the construction in [10, Lemma 3.5 (1)] resp. [10, Lemma 3.8 (1)] for spherical resp. smooth functions.

(2) At $v<\infty$ and for f_v not spherical, we choose Φ_v by

$$\Phi_v((0,1)\kappa)=\mathbf{C}(\psi_v)\xi_v(\det\kappa)^{-1}f_v(\kappa),\kappa\in\mathbf{K}_v\ \text{i.e.}$$

$$\Phi_v(ux,u)=\mathbf{C}(\psi_v)\omega_v\xi_v^2(u)f_v\begin{pmatrix}1&\\x&1\end{pmatrix},\forall u\in\mathfrak{o}_v^\times,x\in\mathfrak{o}_v;$$

$$\Phi_v(u,uy)=\mathbf{C}(\psi_v)\omega_v\xi_v^2(u)f_v\begin{pmatrix}&-1\\1&y\end{pmatrix},\forall u\in\mathfrak{o}_v^\times,y\in\varpi_v\mathfrak{o}_v;$$

and $\Phi_v(x,y)=0$ for $\max(|x|_v,|y|_v)\neq1$.

(3) At $v<\infty$ and for f_v spherical, we choose Φ_v by

$$\Phi_v=\mathbf{C}(\psi_v)\cdot f_v(1)\cdot1_{\mathfrak{o}_v^2}.$$

Let $S=S(f)$ be the set of places v such that f_v is not spherical. Then we get

$$f_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};g)=\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{F})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda^S(1+2s,\omega^{-1}\xi^2)\prod_{\substack{v\in S\\v|\infty}}K_{v,a_v}(s,\omega_v^{-1}\xi_v^2)\cdot f_s(g).\tag{2.1}$$

We can thus deduce the bounds of $W(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)$ from those of

$$W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};g)=\xi(\det g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}+s}\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times}\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(g).\Phi)(t,\frac{1}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{2s}d^\times t,\tag{2.2}$$

where the partial Fourier transforms are defined as in [10, (3.3)].

In the section 2.2, we will bound (2.2) locally place by place. We then use the obtained bound to get a bound for the sum $\Sigma_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times}|W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};a(\alpha)g)|$, using a convergence lemma treated in the section 2.4. We will treat all bounds with uniformity for s with real part lying in any compact interval, so that the bounds for the derivatives in s follow automatically by Cauchy's integral formulae.

In the section 2.3, we will determine the behavior of the constant term based on [10].

2.2. **Bounds of Non Constant Terms.**

2.2.1. *Archimedean Places.* We omit the subscript v since we work locally. The local integral representation has the form

$$W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};a(y)\kappa)=\omega\xi^{-1}(y)|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times}\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)(t,\frac{y}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|_{\mathbf{F}}^{2s}d^\times t,\ \text{for } y\in\mathbf{F}^\times,\kappa\in\mathbf{K}.$$

We are thus reduced to studying the integral at the right hand side. By [5, Proposition 4.1] as well as its counterpart in the singular cases, it is easy to see the rapid decay at ∞ of

$$|W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};a(y)\kappa)|\ll|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{-N},\forall N\in\mathbb{N},$$

and the polynomial increase at 0 of

$$|W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};a(y)\kappa)|\ll_\epsilon|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|-\epsilon},\forall\epsilon>0.$$

As for the implied constants in the above estimations, one naturally guess it is related to the Schwartz norms of $\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)$. Then we need to related these norms to the Schwartz norms of Φ itself. According to this strategy, we state the following two lemmas and the desired proposition.

Lemma 2.2. *For any Schwartz norm $\mathcal{S}^*$ there is a Schwartz norm $\mathcal{S}^{**}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\kappa\in\mathbf{K}}\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi))\ll\mathcal{S}^{**}(\Phi).$$

Lemma 2.3. *For the real part of s lying in a fixed compact interval, any Schwartz function $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^2)$ and any integer $N\in\mathbb{N}$, there is a Schwartz norm $\mathcal{S}^*$ such that as $|y|_{\mathbf{F}}\to\infty$*

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times}\Phi(t,\frac{y}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|_{\mathbf{F}}^{2s}d^\times t\right|\ll\mathcal{S}^*(\Phi)|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{-N};$$

while for any $\epsilon>0$ there is a Schwartz norm $\mathcal{S}^{**}$ such that as $|y|_{\mathbf{F}}\to 0$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times}\Phi(t,\frac{y}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|_{\mathbf{F}}^{2s}d^\times t\right|\ll_\epsilon\mathcal{S}^{**}(\Phi)\max(|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{-\epsilon},|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{-2\Re s-\epsilon}).$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let the real part of s vary in a fixed compact interval. For any integer $N\in\mathbb{N}$, as $|y|\to\infty$ and uniformly in κ, there is a Schwartz norm $\mathcal{S}^*$ such that*

$$|W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};a(y)\kappa)|\ll\mathcal{S}^*(\Phi)|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{-N};$$

while for any $\epsilon>0$, as $|y|\to 0$ and uniformly in κ, there is a Schwartz norm $\mathcal{S}^{**}$ such that

$$|W_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};a(y)\kappa)|\ll_\epsilon\mathcal{S}^{**}(\Phi)|y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|-\epsilon}.$$

We recall the definition of Schwartz norms on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for positive integers d.

Definition 2.5. *For $l\in[1,\infty]$, $\vec{p},\vec{m}\in\mathbb{N}^d$, we put the semi-norm $\mathcal{S}_l^{\vec{p},\vec{m}}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by*

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{\vec{p},\vec{m}}(\Phi)=\left\|\vec{x}^{\vec{p}}\cdot\partial^{\vec{m}}\Phi\right\|_l.$$

Here we have written:

- *$\|\cdot\|_l$ is the L^l-norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*
- *For $\vec{x}=(x_i)_{1\le i\le d}\in\mathbb{R}^d,\vec{p}=(p_i)_{1\le i\le d}\in\mathbb{N}^d$, $\vec{x}^{\vec{p}}=\Pi_{i=1}^d x_i^{p_i}$.*

- *For* $\vec{n} = (n_i)_{1\leq i\leq d} \in \mathbb{N}^d, \vec{x} = (x_i)_{1\leq i\leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\partial^{\vec{n}} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{n_i}}{\partial x_i^{n_i}}.$$

Remark 2.6. *Since* $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$*, we put the semi-norms for* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *on* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 2.7. *If we do not specify the parameters of a Schwartz norm* $\mathcal{S}^*$*, we mean the max of a finite collection of Schwartz norms. This applies to Lemma 2.2, 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.*

We first treat Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 2.8. *The topology on* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *defined by the system of semi-norms* $\mathcal{S}_l^*$ *does not depend on* $l \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof. In the case $d = 1$, we have for $l \in [1, \infty)$ and any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(x)|^l dx \leq \mathcal{S}_\infty^{0,0}(\Phi)^l \int_{-1}^{1} dx + \mathcal{S}_\infty^{2,0}(\Phi)^l \int_{|x|>1} |x|^{-2l} dx,$$

from which we deduce by replacing $\Phi(x)$ with $x^p \Phi^{(m)}(x)$ that

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{p,m}(\Phi) \ll_l \mathcal{S}_\infty^{p,m}(\Phi) + \mathcal{S}_\infty^{p+2,m}(\Phi).$$

In the opposite direction, from Hölder inequality

$$|\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)| = \left| \int_x^y \Phi'(t) dt \right| \leq \|\Phi'\|_l \cdot \left(\int_x^y dt \right)^{\frac{1-l}{l}}$$

and $(a+b)^l \leq 2^{l-1}(a^l + b^l)$ we deduce

$$|\Phi(y)|^l \leq 2^{l-1} \left(|\Phi(x)|^l + \|\Phi'\|_l^l \cdot |y-x|^{l-1} \right).$$

Integrating both sides against $\min(1, |x-y|^{-l-1}) dx \leq dx$ gives

$$(2 + \frac{2}{l}) |\Phi(y)|^l \leq 2^{l-1} \left(\|\Phi\|_l^l + \|\Phi'\|_l^l \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \min(|x|^{l-1}, |x|^{-2}) dx \right).$$

Hence we get (a Sobolev inequality) and conclude the case $d = 1$ by

$$\|\Phi\|_\infty \ll_l \|\Phi\|_l + \|\Phi'\|_l.$$

For general d, one deduces easily by induction

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{\vec{p},\vec{m}}(\Phi)^l \ll_{l,d} \sum_{\vec{\epsilon} \in \{0,2\}^d} \mathcal{S}_\infty^{\vec{p}+\vec{\epsilon},\vec{m}}(\Phi)^l,$$

$$\|\Phi\|_\infty^l \ll_{l,d} \sum_{\vec{\epsilon} \in \{0,1\}^d} \mathcal{S}_l^{0,\vec{\epsilon}}(\Phi)^l.$$

□

Proof. (of Lemma 2.2) By the above proposition, the problem is reduced to the uniform continuity of

$$\mathfrak{F}_2(\cdot) \circ \mathrm{R}(\kappa) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^2) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^2)$$

with respect to $\kappa \in \mathbf{K}$. The continuity of $\mathfrak{F}_2(\cdot)$ follows by considering the $\mathcal{S}_2^*$ semi-norms. The uniform continuity of $\mathrm{R}(\kappa)$ follows by considering the $\mathcal{S}_\infty^*$ semi-norms. □

We then turn to Lemma 2.3. Actually, we are going to reduce to the situation of Mellin transform on $\mathbb{R}_+$, which we shall study at the first place. For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{ f :\in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) : \sup_{y>0} |f^{(k)}(y) y^{\sigma+k}| < \infty, \forall \sigma > c \right\}.$$

$$\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ M \text{ holomorphic in } \Re s > c : \sup_{\Re s = \sigma} |s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)| < \infty, \forall \sigma > c \right\}.$$

Definition 2.9. *For any fixed $l \in [0,\infty]$, we put a system of semi-norms $B_l^{k,\sigma}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in (c,\infty)$ on $\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by*

$$B_l^{k,\sigma}(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty |f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k}|^l \frac{dy}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, l \neq \infty; B_\infty^{k,\sigma}(f) = \sup_{y>0}|f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k}|.$$

Definition 2.10. *For any fixed $l \in [0,\infty]$, we put a system of semi-norms $H_l^{k,\sigma}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in (c,\infty)$ on $\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C})$ by*

$$H_l^{k,\sigma}(M) = \left(\int_{\Re s=\sigma} |s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)|^l \frac{ds}{2\pi i}\right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, l \neq \infty;$$

$$H_\infty^{k,\sigma}(M) = \sup_{\Re s=\sigma} |s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)|.$$

Proposition 2.11. *The topology on $\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ defined by $B_l^{k,\sigma}$ does not depend on l. More precisely, for any $f \in \mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we have for $1 \leq l < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small with $\sigma - \epsilon > c$*

$$B_l^{k,\sigma}(f) \ll_{\epsilon,l} B_\infty^{k,\sigma+\epsilon}(f) + B_\infty^{k,\sigma-\epsilon}(f);$$

$$B_\infty^{k,\sigma}(f) \ll_{\epsilon,l,\sigma+k} B_l^{k,\sigma}(f) + B_l^{k+1,\sigma}(f).$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from

$$\int_0^\infty |f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k}|^l \frac{dy}{y} \leq B_\infty^{k,\sigma+\epsilon}(f)^l \int_1^\infty y^{-\epsilon l}\frac{dy}{y} + B_\infty^{k,\sigma-\epsilon}(f)^l \int_0^1 y^{\epsilon l}\frac{dy}{y}.$$

For the second inequality, we first note that for any $x, y > 0$

$$f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k} - f^{(k)}(x)x^{\sigma+k} = \int_y^x f^{(k+1)}(u)u^{\sigma+k+1}\frac{du}{u} + (\sigma+k)\int_y^x f^{(k)}(u)u^{\sigma+k}\frac{du}{u}.$$

We can bound the integrals using Hölder inequality as

$$\left|\int_y^x f^{(k)}(u)u^{\sigma+k}\frac{du}{u}\right| \leq B_l^{k,\sigma}(f)\cdot|\log(y/x)|^{\frac{l-1}{l}},$$

from which we deduce

$$|f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k}| \leq |f^{(k)}(x)x^{\sigma+k}| + \left[B_l^{k+1,\sigma}(f) + |\sigma+k|\cdot B_l^{k,\sigma}(f)\right]\cdot|\log(y/x)|^{\frac{l-1}{l}}.$$

Raising to the power $l \geq 1$ and use $(a+b)^l \leq 2^{l-1}(a^l+b^l)$ gives

$$|f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k}|^l \leq 2^{l-1}\left\{|f^{(k)}(x)x^{\sigma+k}|^l + \left[B_l^{k+1,\sigma}(f) + |\sigma+k|\cdot B_l^{k,\sigma}(f)\right]^l\cdot|\log(y/x)|^{l-1}\right\}.$$

Integrating both sides against $\min((x/y)^{\epsilon l},(x/y)^{-\epsilon l})dx/x \leq dx/x$ gives

$$\frac{2}{\epsilon l}\cdot|f^{(k)}(y)y^{\sigma+k}|^l \leq 2^{l-1}\left\{B_l^{k,\sigma}(f)^l + \left[B_l^{k+1,\sigma}(f) + |\sigma+k|\cdot B_l^{k,\sigma}(f)\right]^l\cdot\int_0^\infty \min(x^\epsilon,x^{-\epsilon})|\log x|^{l-1}\frac{dx}{x}\right\}.$$

We conclude since $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty \min(x^\epsilon,x^{-\epsilon})|\log x|^{l-1}\frac{dx}{x} < \infty$. □

Proposition 2.12. *The topology on $\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $H_l^{k,\sigma}$ does not depend on l. More precisely, for any $M \in \mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C})$ we have for $1 \leq l < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small with $\sigma - \epsilon > c$*

$$H_l^{k,\sigma}(M) \ll_{k,l} H_\infty^{k,\sigma}(M) + H_\infty^{k+2,\sigma}(M);$$

$$H_\infty^{k,\sigma}(M) \ll_{\epsilon,l,\sigma+k} H_l^{k,\sigma+\epsilon}(M) + H_l^{k+1,\sigma+\epsilon}(M) + H_l^{k,\sigma-\epsilon}(M) + H_l^{k+1,\sigma-\epsilon}(M).$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from

$$\int_{\Re s=\sigma} |s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)|^l \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \leq H_\infty^{k,\sigma}(M)^l \int_{\substack{\Re s=\sigma \\ |\Im s|\leq 1}} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} + H_\infty^{k+2,\sigma}(M)^l \int_{\substack{\Re s=\sigma \\ |\Im s|>1}} \frac{1}{|(s+k)(s+k+1)|^l} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

For the second inequality, we first note that for any s_0 with $\Re s_0 = \sigma$

$$s_0(s_0+1)\cdots(s_0+k-1)M(s_0) = \int_{\Re s=\sigma+\epsilon} \frac{s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)}{s-s_0}\frac{ds}{2\pi i} - \int_{\Re s=\sigma-\epsilon} \frac{s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)}{s-s_0}\frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

To bound the integrals, we apply Hölder inequality to get

$$\int_{\Re s=\sigma+\epsilon} \left|\frac{s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s)}{s-s_0}\right| \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \leq \frac{H_l^{k+1,\sigma+\epsilon}(M)}{\epsilon} \cdot \left(\int_{\substack{\Re s=\sigma+\epsilon \\ |\Im s|\geq 1}} \frac{1}{|s+k|^{\frac{l}{l-1}}} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{l}} + \frac{H_l^{k,\sigma+\epsilon}(M)}{\epsilon} \cdot \left(\int_{\substack{\Re s=\sigma+\epsilon \\ |\Im s|\leq 1}} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{l}},$$

and conclude by the similar bound on $\Re s = \sigma - \epsilon$. □

Proposition 2.13. *The two maps*

$$\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{R}_+) \to \mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C}), f \mapsto \mathfrak{M}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty f(y) y^s \frac{dy}{y}, \text{ for } \Re s > c;$$

$$\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{R}_+), M \mapsto f_M(y) := \int_{\Re s=\sigma} M(s) y^{-s} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \forall \sigma > c$$

are continuous with respect to the above topologies defined by semi-norms.

Proof. By integration by parts we get

$$\mathfrak{M}(f)(s) = \frac{(-1)^k}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)} \int_0^\infty f^{(k)}(y) y^{s+k} \frac{dy}{y},$$

from which it follows readily that $H_\infty^{k,\sigma}(\mathfrak{M}(f)) \leq B_1^{k,\sigma}(f)$. Similarly we pass the derivatives under the integral to get

$$f_M^{(k)}(y) = (-1)^k \int_{\Re s=\sigma} s(s+1)\cdots(s+k-1)M(s) y^{-s-k} \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

from which it follows readily that $B_\infty^{k,\sigma}(f_M) \leq H_1^{k,\sigma}(M)$. □

Definition 2.14. *We write the multiplicative group $\mathbf{F}^1 = \{x \in \mathbf{F} : |x|_{\mathbf{F}} = 1\}$. For any function f on $\mathbf{F}^\times$ and any character $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{F}^1}$ we define a function on $\mathbb{R}_+$*

$$f_\xi(t) = f(t;\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^1} f(tu)\xi(u)du, t > 0$$

where du is the probability Haar measure on $\mathbf{F}^1$. Concretely:

(1) *If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{R}$ then $\mathbf{F}^1 = \{\pm 1\}, \widehat{\mathbf{F}^1} = \{\xi_+, \xi_-\}$ with $\xi_+ \equiv 1$ and $\xi_-(-1) = -1$. We then define*

$$f(t;+) = f_+(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(f(t) + f(-t)\right), f(t;-) = f_-(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(f(t) - f(-t)\right).$$

(2) *If* $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{C}$ *then* $\mathbf{F}^1=\{e^{i\theta}:\theta\in\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}\}, \widehat{\mathbf{F}^1}=\{\xi_n:n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ *with* $\xi_n(e^{i\theta})=e^{in\theta}$. *We then define*

$$f(t;n)=f_n(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}} f(te^{i\theta})e^{in\theta}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Proposition 2.15. $f_\xi\in \mathrm{B}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ *for any* $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F})$ *and* $\xi\in\widehat{\mathbf{F}^1}$. *The map*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F})\to \mathrm{B}_0(\mathbb{R}_+), f\mapsto f_\xi$$

is continuous. Moreover, in the case $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{C}$, *for any* $k,l\in\mathbb{N},\sigma>0$ *there is a finite collection of norms* $\mathcal{S}^*_\infty$ *independent of* n ($n\neq 0$ *if* $l\neq 0$) *such that*

$$B^{k,\sigma}_\infty(f_n)\ll_{k,\sigma}|n|^{-l}\mathcal{S}^*_\infty(f).$$

Proof. In the case $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$t^k\frac{d^k}{dt^k}f(t;+)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^kf^{(k)}(t)+(-1)^kt^kf^{(k)}(-t)\right),$$
$$t^k\frac{d^k}{dt^k}f(t;-)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^kf^{(k)}(t)+(-1)^{k+1}t^kf^{(k)}(-t)\right),$$

from which it is easy to see

$$B^{k,\sigma}_\infty(f_\pm)\leq\mathcal{S}^{\lfloor k+\sigma\rfloor,k}_\infty(f)+\mathcal{S}^{\lceil k+\sigma\rceil,k}_\infty(f),\forall k\in\mathbb{N},\sigma>0.$$

In the case $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{C}$, with the Cartesian & Polar coordinates

$$(x,y)=(t\cos\theta,t\sin\theta),(z,\bar z)=(x+iy,x-iy)$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}=i\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z}\right);t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z}.$$

By induction on $k\in\mathbb{N}$, it is easy to see

$$t^k\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}=P_k(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z})$$

for some polynomial $P_k\in\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and any $k\in\mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}t^kf_n^{(k)}(t)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}}(P_k(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z})f)(te^{i\theta})e^{in\theta}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\\&=\frac{(-1)^l}{n^l}\int_{\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}}(\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z}\right)^lP_k(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z})f)(te^{i\theta})e^{in\theta}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}B^{k,\sigma}_\infty(f_n)\leq|n|^{-l}\Bigg\{&\left\|(z\bar z)^{\lfloor\frac{\sigma}{2}\rfloor}\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z}\right)^lP_k(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z})f\right\|_\infty\\&+\left\|(z\bar z)^{\lceil\frac{\sigma}{2}\rceil}\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z}\right)^lP_k(z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\bar z\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar z})f\right\|_\infty\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is obviously bounded by some Schwartz norm of f. □

Proof. (of Lemma 2.3) We only treat the case $\mathbf{F}=\mathbb{C}$, the real case being similar and simpler. Writing

$$f(y)=\int_{\mathbb{C}^\times}\Phi(t,\frac{y}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|^{2s}_{\mathbb{C}}d^\times t,$$

we can take its Fourier expansion on $\mathbb{C}^1$

$$f(te^{i\theta})=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f_n(t)e^{-in\theta},t\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

Extending each $\xi_n \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}^1}$ to $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by triviality on $\mathbb{R}_+$ we have the Mellin transform

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}(f_n)(s_1) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^\times} f(y)\xi_n(y)|y|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{s_1}{2}} d^\times y \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^\times\times\mathbb{C}^\times} \Phi(t,y)\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_n(t)|t|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{s_1}{2}+2s}\xi_n(y)|y|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{s_1}{2}} d^\times t d^\times y \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+} \Phi_{\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_n,\xi_n}(t_1,t_2)|t_1|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{s_1}{2}+2s+i\mu(\omega^{-1}\xi^2)}|t_2|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\frac{s_1}{2}} d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2.\end{aligned}$$

Considering the H_∞^* semi-norms it is easy to see $\mathfrak{M}(f_n) \in \mathrm{H}_{\max(0,-4\Re s)}(\mathbb{C})$. We can also bound

$$H_\infty^{k,\sigma}(\mathfrak{M}(f_n)) \ll_{k,\sigma} \min(1,|n|^{-2})\mathcal{S}_1^*(\Phi), \forall \sigma > \max(0,-4\Re s).$$

As $\Re s$ lies in a compact interval, the orders of $\mathcal{S}_1^*$ can be made uniform (but depends on σ). Hence $f_n \in \mathrm{B}_{\max(0,-4\Re s)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and for any $\sigma > \max(0,-4\Re s)$ we get

$$|t^\sigma f(te^{i\theta})| \leq \sum_n B_\infty^{0,\sigma}(f_n) \ll \mathcal{S}_1^*(\Phi)\sum_n \min(1,|n|^{-2}).$$

We conclude by noting $t^\sigma = |te^{i\theta}|_{\mathbb{C}}^{\sigma/2}$. □

Obviously, Proposition 2.4 is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2 and 2.3.

2.2.2. *Non Archimedean Places.* We continue to omit the subscript v for simplicity of notations.

Definition 2.16. *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. For any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^d)$ we define its* support index $D(\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$, additive invariance index $\delta(\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* multiplicative invariance index $m(\Phi) \in \mathbb{N}$ *as follows.*

(1) *$D(\Phi)$ is the largest integer D such that*
$$\Phi(\vec{x}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \vec{x} \in \mathfrak{p}^D \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{p}^D.$$
(2) *$\delta(\Phi)$ is the smallest integer δ such that*
$$\Phi(\vec{x}+\vec{t}) = \Phi(\vec{x}), \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbf{F}^d, \vec{t} \in \mathfrak{p}^\delta \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{p}^\delta.$$
(3) *$m(\Phi)$ is the smallest integer $m \geq 0$ such that for any $\kappa \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathfrak{o})$ with $\kappa - 1 \in \mathrm{M}_d(\mathfrak{p}^m)$*
$$\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi(\vec{x}) = \Phi(\vec{x}.\kappa) = \Phi(\vec{x}), \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbf{F}^d.$$

Proposition 2.17. *The three indices satisfy the following relations.*

(0) $m(\Phi) \leq \delta(\Phi) - D(\Phi)$.
(1) *For any $\kappa \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathfrak{o})$, we have $D(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi) = D(\Phi)$, $\delta(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi) = \delta(\Phi)$ and $m(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi) = m(\Phi)$.*
(2) *Let $\mathfrak{F}(\cdot)$ denote the Fourier transform*
$$\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^d} \Phi(\vec{y})\psi(-\vec{y}.\vec{x})d\vec{y}.$$
Then we have
$$D(\Phi) + \delta(\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)) = \delta(\Phi) + D(\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)) = -\mathfrak{c}(\psi).$$
(3) *More generally, let $I = \{i_1, \cdots, i_j\} \subset \{1,\ldots,d\}$. We define the partial Fourier transform $\mathfrak{F}_I(\cdot) = \mathfrak{F}_{i_1}(\mathfrak{F}_{i_2}(\cdots\mathfrak{F}_{i_j}(\cdot)))$. Then we have*
$$\delta(\mathfrak{F}_I(\Phi))) \leq \max(\delta(\Phi), -\mathfrak{c}(\psi) - D(\Phi));$$
$$D(\mathfrak{F}_I(\Phi)) \geq \min(D(\Phi), -\mathfrak{c}(\psi) - \delta(\Phi)).$$

Proof. (0) and (1) are obvious from definition. (3) follows easily from (2). We prove (2) as follows. From

$$\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x}+\vec{t}) = \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)}} \Phi(\vec{y})\psi(-\vec{y}.\vec{x})\psi(-\vec{y}.\vec{t})d\vec{y},$$

we see that for $\vec{t} \in \mathfrak{p}^{-\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-D(\Phi)}$, $\vec{y}.\vec{t} \in \mathfrak{p}^{-\mathfrak{c}(\psi)}$ hence $\psi(-\vec{y}.\vec{t}) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x}+\vec{t}) = \mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x})$. Thus

$$\delta(\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)) \leq -\mathfrak{c}(\psi) - D(\Phi).$$

On the other hand, if $\vec{x} \notin \mathfrak{p}^{-\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-\delta(\Phi)} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{p}^{-\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-\delta(\Phi)}$ then at least for one component, say $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}^{-\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-\delta(\Phi)}$, i.e., $v(x_1) < -\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-\delta(\Phi)$. As t_1 runs under the condition $v(t_1) = -\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-1-v(x_1) \geq \delta(\Phi)$, $x_1 t_1$ runs under the condition $v(x_1 t_1) = -\mathfrak{c}(\psi)-1$. Hence at least for one t_1, $\psi(x_1 t_1) \neq 1$. Writing $\vec{t} = (t_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, we get

$$\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^d} \Phi(\vec{y}+\vec{t})\psi(-\vec{y}.\vec{x})d\vec{y} = \int_{\mathbf{F}^d} \Phi(\vec{y})\psi(-\vec{y}.\vec{x})d\vec{y} \cdot \psi(\vec{t}.\vec{x}) = \psi(t_1 x_1)\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x}).$$

Hence $\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)(\vec{x}) = 0$ and

$$D(\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)) \geq -\mathfrak{c}(\psi) - \delta(\Phi).$$

Replacing Φ with $\mathfrak{F}(\Phi)$ in the above argument gives the inequalities in the opposite direction. □

Definition 2.18. *For $l \in [1, \infty]$, $\vec{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$, we put the semi-norm $\mathcal{S}_l^{\vec{\sigma}}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^d)$ by*

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{\vec{\sigma}}(\Phi) = \left\| |\vec{x}^{\vec{\sigma}}|_{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \Phi \right\|_l.$$

Here we have written:

- *$\|\cdot\|_l$ is the L^l-norm on $\mathbf{F}^d$.*
- *For $\vec{x} = (x_i)_{1\leq i\leq d} \in \mathbf{F}^d, \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_i)_{1\leq i\leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$, $|\vec{x}^{\vec{\sigma}}|_{\mathbf{F}} = \Pi_{i=1}^d |x_i|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\sigma_i}$.*

We shall also write $|\vec{\sigma}| = \sum_i \sigma_i$.

Proposition 2.19. *We have the following relations of norms for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^d)$.*

(1) $\|\Phi\|_\infty \leq q^{\frac{d\delta(\Phi)}{l}} \|\Phi\|_l$ *and* $\|\Phi\|_l \leq q^{-\frac{dD(\Phi)}{l}} \|\Phi\|_\infty$.
(2) $\mathcal{S}_l^{\vec{\sigma}}(\Phi) \leq q^{-|\vec{\sigma}|D(\Phi)} \|\Phi\|_l$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case $d = 1$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbf{F}$ be such that $|\Phi(x_0)| = \|\Phi\|_\infty$, then

$$\|\Phi\|_\infty^l = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{p}^{\delta(\Phi)})^{-1} \int_{x_0+\mathfrak{p}^{\delta(\Phi)}} |\Phi(x)|^l dx \leq q^{\delta(\Phi)} \|\Phi\|_l^l$$

and we get the first inequality. The second follows from

$$\|\Phi\|_l^l \leq \|\Phi\|_\infty^l \int_{\mathrm{supp}(\Phi)} dx \leq \|\Phi\|_\infty^l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)}) = q^{-D(\Phi)} \|\Phi\|_\infty^l.$$

For the last, we deduce it from

$$\mathcal{S}_l^{\sigma}(\Phi)^l = \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)}} |x|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\sigma l} |\Phi(x)|^l dx \leq \sup_{x\in\mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)}} |x|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\sigma l} \cdot \|\Phi\|_l^l = q^{-\sigma l D(\Phi)} \|\Phi\|_l^l.$$

□

Definition 2.20. *For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z}; \varpi)$ to be the space of functions $f : \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

(1) $\lim_{n\to-\infty} f(\varpi^n) q^{-n\sigma} = 0$ *for any $\sigma > 0$.*
(2) $\lim_{n\to+\infty} f(\varpi^n) q^{-n\sigma} = 0$ *for any $\sigma > c$.*

The subspace $\mathrm{B}_c^0(\mathbb{Z}; \varpi) \subset \mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z}; \varpi)$ is defined by replacing (1) with

(1') $f(\varpi^n) = 0$ *for $n \ll -1$.*

Definition 2.21. *For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C}; q)$ to be the space of meromorphic functions $M : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

(1) $M(s + i\frac{2\pi}{\log q}) = M(s)$ *for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.*
(2) $M(s)$ *is holomorphic for $\Re s > c$.*

Definition 2.22. *For any $l \in [1,\infty]$ we put a system of semi-norms B_l^σ for $\sigma > c$ on $\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z};\varpi)$ by*

$$B_l^\sigma(f) = \left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{-n\sigma l}|f(\varpi^n)|^l\right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, l \neq \infty; B_\infty^\sigma(f) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{-n\sigma}|f(\varpi^n)|.$$

Definition 2.23. *For any $l \in [1,\infty]$ we put a system of semi-norms H_l^σ for $\sigma > c$ on $\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C};q)$ by*

$$H_l^\sigma(M) = \left(\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}} |M(\sigma+i\tau)|^l \frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{l}}, l \neq \infty; H_\infty^\sigma(M) = \max_{\Re s=\sigma}|M(s)|.$$

Proposition 2.24. *The topology on $\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z};\varpi)$ defined by B_l^* does not depend on l. More precisely, for any $f \in \mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z};\varpi)$ we have for $1 \leq l < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small with $\sigma - \epsilon > c$*

$$B_l^\sigma(f) \ll_{\epsilon,l} B_\infty^{\sigma-\epsilon}(f) + B_\infty^{\sigma+\epsilon}(f);$$

$$B_\infty^\sigma(f) \leq B_l^\sigma(f).$$

Proof. The first follows from

$$B_l^\sigma(f)^l \leq B_\infty^{\sigma-\epsilon}(f)\sum_{n\geq 0} q^{-n\epsilon} + B_\infty^{\sigma+\epsilon}(f)\sum_{n<0} q^{n\epsilon}.$$

The second is obvious by positivity. □

Proposition 2.25. *The topology on $\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C};q)$ defined by H_l^* does not depend on l. More precisely, for any $M \in \mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C};q)$ we have for $1 \leq l < \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small with $\sigma - \epsilon > c$*

$$H_l^\sigma(M) \leq H_\infty^\sigma(M);$$

$$H_\infty^\sigma(M) \ll_\epsilon H_l^{\sigma-\epsilon}(M) + H_l^{\sigma+\epsilon}(M).$$

Proof. The first is obvious. For the second, let s_0 be any complex number with $\Re s_0 = \sigma$ and $0 < \Im s_0 < 2\pi/\log q$. Selecting the contour joining $\sigma+\epsilon$, $\sigma+\epsilon+i2\pi/\log q$, $\sigma-\epsilon+i2\pi/\log q$ and $\sigma-\epsilon$, we see

$$\log q \cdot M(s_0) = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}} \frac{M(\sigma+\epsilon+i\tau)}{\sigma+\epsilon+i\tau-s_0}\frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi} - \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}} \frac{M(\sigma-\epsilon+i\tau)}{\sigma-\epsilon+i\tau-s_0}\frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi}.$$

Using Hölder inequality we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\log q \cdot |M(s_0)| &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}H_l^{\sigma+\epsilon}(M)\cdot\left(\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}}\frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{l}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}H_l^{\sigma-\epsilon}(M)\cdot\left(\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}}\frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{l}}\\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}H_l^{\sigma+\epsilon}(M) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}H_l^{\sigma-\epsilon}(M).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude by taking sup with respect to $\Re s_0 = \sigma$. □

Proposition 2.26. *The two maps*

$$\mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z};\varpi) \to \mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C};q), f \mapsto \mathfrak{M}(f)(s) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(\varpi^n)q^{-ns}, \text{ for } \Re s > c;$$

$$\mathrm{H}_c(\mathbb{C};q) \to \mathrm{B}_c(\mathbb{Z};\varpi), M \mapsto f_M(\varpi^n) = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}} M(\sigma+i\tau)q^{n(\sigma+i\tau)}\frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi}, \forall \sigma > c$$

are continuous with respect to the above topologies defined by semi-norms.

Proof. The continuity follows from

$$H^\sigma_\infty(\mathfrak{M}(f)) \leq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |f(\varpi^n)|q^{-n\sigma} = B^\sigma_1(f);$$

$$B^\sigma_\infty(f_M) \leq \sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}} |M(\sigma+i\tau)q^{ni\tau}| \frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi} = H^\sigma_1(M).$$

□

Note that the abstract part of Definition 2.14 still makes sense in the current case, i.e., for any function $f : \mathbf{F} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{F}^1}$ we can define

$$f_\xi(\varpi^n) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^1} f(\varpi^n u)\xi(u)du, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 2.27. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F})$, $f_\xi \neq 0$ only for ξ satisfying $\mathfrak{c}(\xi) \leq m(f)$, hence for only finitely many ξ. We have $f_\xi \in B^0_0(\mathbb{Z}; \varpi)$ and*

$$B^\sigma_\infty(f_\xi) \leq \mathcal{S}^\sigma_\infty(f), \forall \sigma > 0.$$

Proof. Obvious. □

Lemma 2.28. *For any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^2)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma > \max(0, -2\Re s)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ with $\sigma - \epsilon, \sigma + 2\Re s - \epsilon > 0$, there is $N = N(\epsilon, \sigma, \sigma + 2\Re s) > 0$ such that with implied constant depending only on ϵ*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} \Phi(t, \frac{y}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|^{2s}_{\mathbf{F}} d^\times t \right| \ll_\epsilon q^{-ND(\Phi)+m(\Phi)} \|\Phi\|_\infty \cdot |y|^{-\sigma}_{\mathbf{F}} 1_{y\in\mathfrak{p}^{2D(\Phi)}}.$$

Proof. Writing

$$f(y) = \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times} \Phi(t, \frac{y}{t})\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)|t|^{2s}_{\mathbf{F}} d^\times t,$$

we have for any $\xi_1 \in \widehat{\mathbf{F}^1}$ and $s_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re s_1 > \max(0, -2\Re s)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}(f_{\xi_1})(s_1) &= \int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\times\mathbf{F}^\times} \Phi(t, y)\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1(t)|t|^{s_1+2s}_{\mathbf{F}} \xi_1(y)|y|^{s_1}_{\mathbf{F}} d^\times t d^\times y \\ &= \mathfrak{M}(\Phi_{\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1,\xi_1})(s_1 + 2s + i\mu(\omega^{-1}\xi^2), s_1),\end{aligned}$$

where the second Mellin transform is the natural two dimensional one. By Proposition 2.27, $\Phi_{\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1,\xi_1} \neq 0$ only if $\mathfrak{c}(\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1), \mathfrak{c}(\xi_1) \leq m(\Phi)$. In particular, the number of such ξ_1 is bounded by $q^{m(\Phi)}$. From the Mellin inversion for $\sigma > \max(0, -2\Re s)$

$$f(\varpi^n u) = \sum_{\xi_1} f_{\xi_1}(\varpi^n)\xi_1(u)^{-1} = \sum_{\xi_1} \xi_1(u)^{-1} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\log q}} \mathfrak{M}(f_{\xi_1})(\sigma + i\tau)q^{n(\sigma+i\tau)} \frac{\log q d\tau}{2\pi}$$

we can successively apply Proposition 2.25, 2.26, 2.24, 2.27 and 2.19 to get

$$\begin{aligned}|y|^\sigma_{\mathbf{F}}|f(y)| &\leq \sum_{\xi_1} H^\sigma_1(\mathfrak{M}(f_{\xi_1})) \leq \sum_{\xi_1} H^\sigma_\infty(\mathfrak{M}(f_{\xi_1})) \\ &\leq \sum_{\xi_1} H^{\sigma+2\Re s,\sigma}_\infty(\mathfrak{M}(\Phi_{\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1,\xi_1})) \leq \sum_{\xi_1} B^{\sigma+2\Re s,\sigma}_1(\Phi_{\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1,\xi_1}) \\ &\ll_\epsilon \sum_{\xi_1} \left(B^{\sigma+2\Re s+\epsilon,\sigma+\epsilon}_\infty + B^{\sigma+2\Re s+\epsilon,\sigma-\epsilon}_\infty + B^{\sigma+2\Re s-\epsilon,\sigma+\epsilon}_\infty + B^{\sigma+2\Re s-\epsilon,\sigma-\epsilon}_\infty\right)(\Phi_{\omega^{-1}\xi^2\xi_1,\xi_1}) \\ &\leq \left(\mathcal{S}^{\sigma+2\Re s+\epsilon,\sigma+\epsilon}_\infty + \mathcal{S}^{\sigma+2\Re s+\epsilon,\sigma-\epsilon}_\infty + \mathcal{S}^{\sigma+2\Re s-\epsilon,\sigma+\epsilon}_\infty + \mathcal{S}^{\sigma+2\Re s-\epsilon,\sigma-\epsilon}_\infty\right)(\Phi) \sum_{\xi_1} 1 \\ &\leq q^{-ND(\Phi)+m(\Phi)}\|\Phi\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is obvious that $f(y) \neq 0$ implies the existence of some $t \in \mathbf{F}^\times$ such that $(t, y/t)$ lies in the support of Φ hence in $\mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)} \times \mathfrak{p}^{D(\Phi)}$, thus $y \in \mathfrak{p}^{2D(\Phi)}$. □

Proposition 2.29. *For any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{F}^2)$, let*

$$D = \min(D(\Phi), -\mathfrak{c}(\psi) - \delta(\Phi)); \delta = \max(\delta(\Phi), \mathfrak{c}(\psi) - D(\Phi)).$$

Then for any $\sigma > |\Re s|$ and $\epsilon > 0$ with $\sigma - \epsilon > |\Re s|$, there is $N = N(\epsilon, \sigma + \Re s, \sigma - \Re s) > 0$ continuous in $\epsilon, \sigma \pm \Re s$ such that

$$|W_\Phi(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; a(y)\kappa)| \ll_\epsilon q^{-(N+1)D+2\delta}\|\Phi\|_2 \cdot |y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} 1_{y\in\mathfrak{p}^{2D}}.$$

Moreover, at an unramified place with $\mathfrak{c}(\psi) = \mathfrak{c}(\xi) = \mathfrak{c}(\omega\xi^{-1}) = 0$ and $\Phi = 1_{\mathfrak{o}\times\mathfrak{o}}$ we have for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$|W_\Phi(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; a(y)\kappa)| \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon \log q} \cdot \left(\sup_{x>0} \frac{x}{e^x}\right) \cdot |y|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|-\epsilon} 1_{y\in\mathfrak{p}} + 1_{y\in\mathfrak{o}^\times}.$$

Proof. The first part is a direct consequence of the previous lemma and the following inequalities deduced from Proposition 2.17 and 2.19:

$$D(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)) \geq \min(D(\Phi), -\mathfrak{c}(\psi) - \delta(\Phi)) = D;$$
$$m(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)) \leq \delta(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)) - D(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)) \leq \delta - D;$$
$$\|\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)\|_\infty \leq q^{\delta(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi))}\|\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathrm{R}(\kappa).\Phi)\|_2 \leq q^\delta\|\Phi\|_2.$$

The "moreover" part follows from a direct computation (or [1, Theorem 4.6.5])

$$W_\Phi(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; a(\varpi^n)) = q^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\alpha^{n+1} - \beta^{n+1}}{\alpha - \beta} 1_{n\geq 0}, \text{ with } \alpha = \xi(\varpi)q^{-s}, \beta = \omega\xi^{-1}(\varpi)q^s,$$

which implies for $n \geq 1$

$$|W_\Phi(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; a(\varpi^n))| \leq (n+1)|\varpi^n|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|} = \frac{n+1}{\epsilon n \log q} \cdot \frac{\epsilon n \log q}{q^{\epsilon n}} \cdot |\varpi^n|_{\mathbf{F}}^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|-\epsilon}$$

is bounded as in the statement. □

2.2.3. *Global Bound.* Writing $D_v = \min(D(\Phi_v), -\mathfrak{c}(\psi_v) - \delta(\Phi_v))$ for $v < \infty$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 and 2.29 that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \gg 1$

$$\left|W_\Phi(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; na(y)\kappa)\right| \ll_{\epsilon,N,\Phi} \prod_{v|\infty} \min(|y_v|_v^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|-\epsilon}, |y_v|_v^{-N}) \prod_{v<\infty} |y_v|_v^{\frac{1}{2}-|\Re s|-\epsilon} 1_{y_v\in\mathfrak{p}_v^{2D_v}}.$$

The bound is uniform when $\Re s$ lies in a fixed compact interval. Together with Lemma 2.37, we obtain

Proposition 2.30. *For any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^2)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\sigma > |\Re s|$, we have*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^m}{\partial s^m}\left(\mathrm{E}(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; \Phi)(g) - \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; \Phi)(g)\right)\right| \leq \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times} \left|\frac{\partial^m}{\partial s^m} W_\Phi(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; a(\alpha)g)\right| \ll_{\sigma,\Phi} \mathrm{Ht}(g)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\sigma},$$

which is of rapid decay with respect to $\mathrm{Ht}(g)$.

2.3. **Behavior of Constant Term.** Consider $f \in V^\infty_{\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}$ and take its Fourier expansion into $\mathbf{K}$-isotypic types

$$f = \sum_{\vec{n}} \hat{f}_{\vec{n}} \cdot e_{\vec{n}}(\xi, \omega\xi^{-1})$$

for some $\hat{f}_{\vec{n}} \in \mathbb{C}$, where $e_{\vec{n}}(\xi, \omega\xi^{-1})$ is unitary with $\mathbf{K}$-type parametrized by $\vec{n}$ as in [10, Section 3.5]. For $\Re s \gg 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; f) = f(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}) + \sum_{\vec{n}} \hat{f}_{\vec{n}} \cdot \mu(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; \vec{n}) e_{\vec{n}}(-s, \omega\xi^{-1}, \xi),$$

where $\mu(s, \xi, \omega\xi^{-1}; \vec{n})$ are the explicit coefficients of the intertwining operator on the $\mathbf{K}$-type $\vec{n}$ part as in *loc.cit.*

Proposition 2.31. *The possible poles of the collection of meromorphic functions* $\{\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n}) : \vec{n}\}$ *are*

- *The pole of* $\Lambda(1-2s,\omega\xi^{-2})$ *at* $s=(1+i\mu(\omega\xi^{-2}))/2$ *with order at most* 1*, when* $\omega\xi^{-2}$ *is trivial on* $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$ *and* $\vec{n}=\vec{0}$*. We call this pole the* spherical pole*.*
- *The (both trivial and non-trivial) zeros of* $L(1+2s,\omega^{-1}\xi^2)$ *with order at most that of the zero.*

Proof. This can be seen either from our explicit computation of $\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n})$ in [10], or from (2.1) and

$$\mathcal{M}f_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}) = f_{\widehat{\Phi}}(-s,\omega\xi^{-1},\xi),$$

which is meromorphic with a simple pole at $s=(1+i\mu(\omega\xi^{-2}))/2$ (the other pole is cancelled by that of $f_\Phi(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1})$) by Tate's theory. □

Lemma 2.32. *Assume* $\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n}_0)$ *has a pole at* s_0 *with order* n *(*$n=0$ *if it is holomorphic at* s_0*) for some* $\vec{n}_0$*. Define*

$$\|\vec{n}\| = \prod_v (|n_v|+1) \text{ for } \vec{n}=(n_v)_v.$$

Then as s *lying in any small compact neighborhood* K *of* s_0 *where no other pole occur, we have*

$$\left|(s-s_0)^n\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n})\right| \ll \|\vec{n}\|^N$$

for some N *and the implied constant depending only on* K*,* ξ *and* $\omega\xi^{-1}$ *(i.e., independent of* $\vec{n}$*).*

Proof. This follows from the explicit computation of $\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n})$ in [10] together with the following obvious bound

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{k-s}{k+s}\right| \le \prod_k\left(1+\frac{2|s|}{|k+s|}\right) \le \exp\left\{\sum_k \frac{2|s|}{|k+s|}\right\} \ll (n+1)^N.$$

□

Proposition 2.33. *Under the condition of the lemma we have*

$$(s-s_0)^n \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f) = (s-s_0)^n f(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}) + \sum_{\vec{n}} \hat{f}_{\vec{n}}\cdot(s-s_0)^n\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n})e_{\vec{n}}(-s,\omega\xi^{-1},\xi).$$

Consequently, for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$*,* $y\in\mathbb{A}^\times$ *and* $\kappa\in\mathbf{K}$ *we can write*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d^m}{ds^m}\mid_{s=s_0}(s-s_0)^n\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{N}}(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};f)(a(y)\kappa)\\ &= \frac{m!}{(m-n)!}|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}+s_0}\xi(y)(\log|y|_{\mathbb{A}})^{m-n}f(\kappa) + \sum_{k=0}^{m}|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}-s_0}\omega\xi^{-1}(y)(\log|y|_{\mathbb{A}})^k f_k(\kappa),\end{aligned}$$

where $(\log|y|_{\mathbb{A}})^{m-n}$ *is understood as* 0 *if* $m<n$ *and where the maps*

$$V^\infty_{\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}} \to V^\infty_{\omega\xi^{-1},\xi}, f\to f_k \text{ for } 0\le k\le m$$

are $\mathbf{K}$*-maps and continuous with respect to the Sobolev norms on* $\mathbf{K}_\infty$*.*

Proof. The lemma shows that $(s-s_0)^n\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n})$ is polynomially increasing in $\vec{n}$. But $\hat{f}_{\vec{n}}$ is rapidly decreasing in $\vec{n}$ by smoothness of f. The sum over $\vec{n}$ is thus absolutely and uniformly convergent for s lying in K, hence defines an analytic function in s. By uniqueness of analytic continuation, we get the first equation. The rest follows from the polynomial increase of $(s-s_0)^n\mu(s,\xi,\omega\xi^{-1};\vec{n})$ and Cauchy's integral formula for derivatives. □

Remark 2.34. *If* s_0 *is the spherical pole, then* $n=1$ *and for* $m=0$ *we have* $f_k=0$ *unless* $k=0$*. Then*

$$f_0(\kappa) = \lim_{s\to s_0}\frac{(s-s_0)\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2(s_0-s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2-2(s_0-s)))}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f(\kappa)d\kappa = -\frac{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(0)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f(\kappa)d\kappa.$$

2.4. **A Convergence Lemma.** Let $r, N \in \mathbb{N}, r, N \geq 2$. It is well-known that there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \Gamma(N) \to \mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \to 1,$$

where $\Gamma(N)$ is called the principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo N. The pre-image of the upper resp. lower triangular subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $\Gamma_0(N)$ resp. $\Gamma_0^-(N)$, which includes $\Gamma(N)$ as a normal subgroup. For $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$ realized as a column vector, we define matrices, with I_k denoting the identity matrix of rank k

$$n_r^+(\vec{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vec{\alpha}^T \\ \vec{0} & I_{r-1} \end{pmatrix}, n_r^-(\vec{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vec{0}^T \\ \vec{\alpha} & I_{r-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.35. *Any coset of $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ resp. $\Gamma_0^-(N)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ has a representative of the form N_-N_+ resp. N_+N_-, where N_- resp. N_+ is lower resp. upper unipotent with off-diagonal entries lying in $[-\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We treat the case for $\Gamma_0(N)$. Take any $A \in \mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$. Let the first column of A be $(a_1, \cdots, a_r)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Then we have $\mathrm{lcd}(a_1, \cdots, a_r) = 1$, which implies the existence of $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Sigma_{i=1}^r u_i a_i = 1$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{lcd}(u_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r) = 1$. For any $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \leq j \leq r$, the substitution

$$u_1' = u_1 + \sum_{j=2}^r k_j a_j; u_j' = u_j - k_j, 2 \leq j \leq r$$

still gives $\Sigma_{i=1}^r u_i' a_i = 1$. By an iterative application of Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progression, we can choose k_j's such that u_1' is a prime number as large as we want. In particular, we can make $\mathrm{lcd}(u_1', N) = 1$. Since $\mathrm{lcd}(u_1', \cdots, u_r') = 1$, at least one of $u_j', 2 \leq j \leq r$, say u_2', is coprime with u_1'. Hence $\mathrm{lcd}(u_1', Nu_2') = 1$ and we can find $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_1' v_2 - N u_2' v_1 = 1$. The matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} u_1' & u_2' & u_3' \cdots \\ Nv_1 & v_2 & 0 \cdots \\ \vec{0} & \vec{0} & I_{r-2} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$$

and gives, for some $A' = \tilde{A} - \vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta_1}^T \in \mathrm{SL}_{r-1}(\mathbb{Z})$, that

$$BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vec{\beta_1}^T \\ \vec{\alpha} & \tilde{A} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A \in \Gamma_0(N) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \vec{\alpha} & A' \end{pmatrix} n_r^+(\vec{\beta_1}).$$

Repeating the process on A' or making an induction on r we then find successively $\vec{\beta_k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-k}$ such that for some lower unipotent N_-^1

$$A \in \Gamma_0(N) N_-^1 N_+^1, N_+^1 = \prod_{k=0}^{r-2} \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & n_{r-k}^+(\vec{\beta_{k+1}}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking N_- resp. N_+ with off-diagonal entries lying in $[-\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ such that $N_-^1 \equiv N_- \pmod N$ resp. $N_+^1 \equiv N_+ \pmod N$, we then find $N_-^1 N_-^{-1}, N_+^1 N_+^{-1} \in \Gamma(N)$ and conclude by its normality. □

Let $[\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{Q}] = r = r_1 + 2r_2$ where r_1 resp. $2r_2$ is the number of embeddings of $\mathbf{F}$ into real resp. complex numbers. Recall that we have a canonical map by choosing one complex embedding $\sigma_{r_1+j}, 1 \leq j \leq r_2$ in a pair conjugate to each other by complex conjugation

$$\sigma : \mathbf{F} \to \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2} \simeq \mathbb{R}^r,$$

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \cdots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x)) = (\sigma_1(x), \cdots, \sigma_{r_1}(x), \Re\sigma_{r_1+1}(x), \Im\sigma_{r_1+1}(x), \cdots, \Re\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x), \Im\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x)).$$

For every fractional ideal $\mathfrak{J}$, $\sigma(\mathfrak{J})$ is then a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of $\mathbb{R}^r$. For $c \gg 1$, we define a functions f_c on $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ by

$$f_c(\vec{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} \min(1, |x_i|^{-c}) \prod_{j=1}^{r_2} \min(1, |x_{r_1+j}|^{-2c}), \vec{x} = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r_1+r_2}.$$

Lemma 2.36. *Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{o}$ be an integral ideal. We have the following two estimations for $t > 0$.*

(1) $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}^{-1} - \{0\}} f_c(t\sigma(\alpha)) \ll_{\mathbf{F},c} |\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^{3c} t^{-c}$ *if $c > r$.*

(2) $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}^{-1}} f_c(t\sigma(\alpha)) \ll_{\mathbf{F},c} t^{-r} |\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^{-1} \left(1 + t\frac{|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^2}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^{rc}$ *if $c > 1$.*

Proof. (1) On $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ we have a usual norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ given by

$$\|\vec{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2} |x_i|^2}, \vec{x} = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r_1+r_2}.$$

We then easily see, essentially by comparing f_c with the infinity norm, that

$$\sup_{\vec{x}} f_c(\vec{x}) \|\vec{x}\|_2^c \leq (r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{c}{2}}.$$

If $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{J}^{-1}$ is an integral basis such that $\mathfrak{J}^{-1} = \Sigma_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, then $\sigma(\alpha_i)$ is a basis of the lattice $\sigma(\mathfrak{J}^{-1})$. We can define another norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}}$ by

$$\|\vec{x}\|_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2}, \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \sigma(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{R}^r.$$

Or equivalently, if we write $A_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}} = (\sigma(\alpha_1), \cdots, \sigma(\alpha_r)) \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|\vec{x}\|_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}} = \|A_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}}^{-1} \vec{x}\|_2.$$

Fix a basis $\vec{e_i}$ of $\sigma(\mathfrak{o})$ and write $A_{\mathfrak{o}} = (\vec{e_1}, \cdots, \vec{e_r})$. By elementary divisor theorem, there are $\gamma, \gamma_{\mathfrak{J}} \in \mathrm{SL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ and some $d_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}, d_i \mid d_{i+1}, |d_1 \cdots d_r| = |\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}| = |\mathfrak{J}^{-1}/\mathfrak{o}|$, such that

$$A_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}}^{-1} = \gamma \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_r) \gamma_{\mathfrak{J}} A_{\mathfrak{o}}^{-1}.$$

Changing γ is equivalent to changing the choice of basis α_i for $\mathfrak{J}^{-1}$. Applying Lemma 2.35, we can find γ such that

$$\mathrm{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_r)^{-1} \gamma \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_r) \gamma_{\mathfrak{J}} = N_+ N_-$$

where N_+ resp. N_- is upper resp. lower unipotent with entries lying in $[-\frac{d_r}{2d_1}, \frac{d_r}{2d_1}]$. We thus get a bound of the operator norm

$$\|A_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}}^{-1}\|_2 = \|\mathrm{diag}(d_1, \cdots, d_r) N_+ N_- A_{\mathfrak{o}}^{-1}\|_2 \leq |d_r| \left(r + \frac{r(r-1)d_r^2}{8d_1^2}\right) \|A_{\mathfrak{o}}^{-1}\|_2.$$

We finally estimate and conclude by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}^{-1} - \{0\}} f_c(t\sigma(\alpha)) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}^{-1} - \{0\}} \|t\sigma(\alpha)\|_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}}^{-c} \frac{\|t\sigma(\alpha)\|_{\mathfrak{J}^{-1}}^c}{\|t\sigma(\alpha)\|_2^c} f_c(t\sigma(\alpha)) \|t\sigma(\alpha)\|_2^c \\ &\leq t^{-c} |d_r|^c \left(r + \frac{r(r-1)d_r^2}{8d_1^2}\right)^c \|A_{\mathfrak{o}}^{-1}\|_2^c (r_1 + r_2)^{\frac{c}{2}} \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r - \{0\}} \|\vec{n}\|_2^{-c}.\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^r$ with a basis given by the column vectors in a matrix $A_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{R})$, we define its diameter $d(\mathcal{L})$ associated to this basis as the diameter of the fundamental parallelogram spanned by this basis, i.e.,

$$d(\mathcal{L}) = \max_{-1 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq r} \|A_{\mathcal{L}} \vec{\lambda}\|_2.$$

Thus we have obviously $d(\mathcal{L}) \leq \sqrt{r}\|A_{\mathcal{L}}\|_2$. The same argument in the last part of (1) gives, for some choice of basis of $\mathfrak{J}^{-1}$, that

$$d(\sigma(\mathfrak{J}^{-1})) \leq \sqrt{r}\|A_{\mathfrak{o}}\|_2|d_1|^{-1}\left(r + \frac{r(r-1)d_r^2}{8d_1^2}\right).$$

It is also easy to see that for $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$ in the same translate of a parallelogram $\vec{x_0} + \mathcal{P}$ of $t\sigma(\mathfrak{J}^{-1})$, we have

$$\frac{f_c(\vec{x})}{f_c(\vec{y})} \leq 2^{2r_2c}\left(\frac{r_1 + r_2 + \sqrt{r}d(t\sigma(\mathfrak{J}^{-1}))}{r}\right)^{rc}.$$

We thus conclude by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^r/t\sigma(\mathfrak{J}^{-1}))\sum_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{J}^{-1}} f_c(t\sigma(\alpha)) &\leq 2^{2r_2c}\left(\frac{r_1 + r_2 + t\sqrt{r}d(\sigma(\mathfrak{J}^{-1}))}{r}\right)^{rc}\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} f_c(\vec{x})d\vec{x}\\ &\ll_{\mathbf{F},c}\left(1 + t\frac{|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^2}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^{rc}.\end{aligned}$$

□

We shall write, denoting by $\mathfrak{p}_v$ the prime ideal corresponding to $v < \infty$, $v(\mathfrak{J}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{J} = \prod_{v<\infty}\mathfrak{p}_v^{v(\mathfrak{J})}.$$

A variant of the above estimations in the adelic language is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.37. *Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be an integral ideal. Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}, c_2 - c_1 > r$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times}\prod_{v|\infty}\min(|\alpha y_v|_v^{-c_1}, |\alpha y_v|_v^{-c_2})\prod_{v<\infty}|\alpha y_v|_v^{-c_1}1_{v(\alpha y_v)\geq -\mathfrak{c}(\psi_v)-v(\mathfrak{J})}\\ \ll_{\mathbf{F},c_2-c_1}&\min\left(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-c_1-1}|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^{-1}\left(1 + |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{r}}\frac{|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^2}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^{r(c_2-c_1)}, |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-c_1-\frac{c_2-c_1}{r}}|\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{J}|^{3(c_2-c_1)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $y = (y_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}^\times$, $r = [\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. The following exact sequence is split with a splitting section $s : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{A}^\times$

$$1 \to \mathbb{A}^{(1)} \to \mathbb{A}^\times \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}} \mathbb{R}_+ \to 1$$

such that the image of s is contained in $\mathbb{A}_\infty^\times = \prod_{v|\infty}\mathbf{F}_v^\times$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we write $t^+ = s(t)$ with $t_v^+ = t^{1/r} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \subset \mathbf{F}_v$, such that

$$|t^+|_{\mathbb{A}} = \prod_{v|\infty}|t_v^+|_v = t.$$

We may apply the compactness of $\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$, or proceed alternatively in the following more classical way. Let $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{F})$ be the class group of $\mathbf{F}$ and choose an integral ideal τ in each class $[\tau] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{F})$. Let $\delta_\tau \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fin}}^\times$ be a representative of τ in the group of ideles. Since $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{F}) \simeq \mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{fin}}^\times/\widehat{\mathfrak{o}}^\times$, there is a unique τ, and some $\beta = \beta_0 u \in \mathbf{F}^\times$ with freely chosen $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ such that $y_{\mathrm{fin}} \in \beta\delta_\tau\widehat{\mathfrak{o}}^\times$. Let $t = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}$. We can write $y = y_\infty y_{\mathrm{fin}} = t^+ y'_\infty y_{\mathrm{fin}}$, and find

$$\prod_{v|\infty}|\beta^{-1}y_v(t_v^+)^{-1}|_v = \prod_{v|\infty}|u^{-1}\beta_0^{-1}y'_v|_v = t^{-1}|\beta^{-1}y|_{\mathbb{A}}|\delta_\tau|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1} = |\mathfrak{o}/\tau|.$$

By Dirichlet's unit theorem, we can adjust $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ so that each $|u^{-1}\beta_0^{-1}y_v'|_v$ remains in a compact set in $\mathbb{R}_+$ depending only on $\mathbf{F}$. We thus find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times}\prod_{v|\infty}\min(|\alpha y_v|_v^{-c_1},|\alpha y_v|_v^{-c_2})\prod_{v<\infty}|\alpha y_v|_v^{-c_1}1_{v(\alpha y_v)\geq -\mathfrak{c}(\psi_v)-v(\mathfrak{J})}\\
=&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{F}^\times}\prod_{v|\infty}\min(|\alpha\beta^{-1}y_v|_v^{-c_1},|\alpha\beta^{-1}y_v|_v^{-c_2})\prod_{v<\infty}|\alpha\delta_\tau|_v^{-c_1}1_{v(\alpha)\geq -\mathfrak{c}(\psi_v)-v(\mathfrak{J}\tau)}\\
=&\,t^{-c_1}\sum_{\alpha\in(\mathfrak{D}_\mathbf{F}\mathfrak{J}\tau)^{-1}-\{0\}}\prod_{v|\infty}\min(1,|\alpha t_v^+|_v^{-(c_2-c_1)}\cdot|u^{-1}\beta_0^{-1}y_v'|_v^{c_1-c_2})\\
\ll_{\mathbf{F},c_2-c_1}&\,t^{-c_1}\sum_{\alpha\in(\mathfrak{D}_\mathbf{F}\mathfrak{J}\tau)^{-1}-\{0\}}f_{c_2-c_1}(t^{\frac{1}{r}}\sigma(\alpha)).
\end{aligned}$$

We then apply Lemma 2.36 to conclude. □

3. Zagier's Regularized Integral

3.1. **Regularized Integral.**

Definition 3.1. *Let $a:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. It is* regularizable *if*

(1) *for any $N\gg 1$ as $t\to\infty$*

$$a(t)=f(t)+O(t^{-N}),f(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\frac{c_i}{n_i!}t^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_i}\log^{n_i}t,$$

where $c_i,\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C},n_i\in\mathbb{N}$;

(2) *for some $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ as $t\to 0^+$*

$$a(t)=O(t^\alpha).$$

In this case, we write for $T>0$

$$h_T(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\frac{c_i}{n_i!}\frac{\partial^{n_i}}{\partial s^{n_i}}\left(\frac{T^{s+\alpha_i}}{s+\alpha_i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{l}c_i\sum_{m=0}^{n_i}\frac{(-1)^{n_i-m}}{m!}\frac{T^{s+\alpha_i}\log^m T}{(s+\alpha_i)^{n_i-m+1}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For $f(t)$ as above, if $\lim_{T\to\infty}\int_1^T f(t)\frac{dt}{t^2}$ exists, then $\Re\alpha_i<1/2$ for all $1\leq i\leq l$.*

Proof. If not, the condition implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_1^T f(t)\frac{dt}{t^2}=&\sum_{\alpha_j\neq\frac{1}{2}}c_j\sum_{m=0}^{n_j}\frac{(-1)^{n_j-m}}{(\alpha_j-\frac{1}{2})^{n_j-m+1}}T^{\alpha_j-\frac{1}{2}}(\log T)^m-\sum_{\alpha_j\neq\frac{1}{2}}c_j\frac{(-1)^{n_j}}{(\alpha_j-\frac{1}{2})^{n_j+1}}\\
&+\sum_{\alpha_j=\frac{1}{2}}\frac{c_j}{n_j!}\frac{(\log T)^{n_j+1}}{n_j+1}
\end{aligned}$$

is bounded as $T\to+\infty$. Let $\sigma=\max_j\Re\alpha_j$. We distinguish two cases.

(1) $\sigma=1/2$. Let $l=\max\{n_j:\Re\alpha_j=1/2,\alpha_j\neq 1/2\}\cup\{n_j+1:\alpha_j=1/2\}$. We divide both sides of the equation by $(\log T)^l$ and let $T\to+\infty$ to get

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\sum c_jT^{i\tau_j}=0 \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Im\alpha_j=\tau_j$ for j such that either $\Re\alpha_j=1/2,\alpha_j\neq 1/2,n_j=l$ or $\alpha_j=1/2,n_j+1=l$. In particular τ_j are mutually distinct.

(2) $\sigma>1/2$. Let $l=\max\{n_j:\Re\alpha_j=\sigma\}$. We divide both sides of the equation by $T^{\sigma-1/2}(\log T)^l$ and let $T\to+\infty$ to get an equation of the same form as (3.1).

We conclude because (3.1) contradicts the following Corollary 3.4. □

Write $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\vec{\theta}=(\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $\vec{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n$, we write by $[\vec{x}]$ its image in $\mathbb{T}^n$. Define

$$\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}=\overline{\{[t\vec{\theta}]:t\in\mathbb{R}\}}.$$

It is a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{T}^n$. Furthermore, the one parameter subgroup $U_{\vec{\theta}}=\{t.\vec{\theta}:t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts uniquely ergodically on $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}$ w.r.t. the Haar measure of $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}$. More precisely,

Lemma 3.3. *For any $f\in\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}})$, we have*

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f([\vec{x}]+[t.\vec{\theta}])dt=\int_{\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}}fdm_\theta$$

for all $[\vec{x}]\in\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}$. Here dm_θ is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}$.

Proof. Consider the group of characters $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$ given by

$$e_{\vec{n}}(\vec{x})=e(\vec{n}\cdot\vec{x})=e(\sum_{i=1}^n n_ix_i),\vec{n}\in\mathbb{N}^n,\vec{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

where $e(x)=e^{2\pi ix}$. By the duality theorem for locally compact abelian groups, the group of characters $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}})$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ by the subgroup of $e_{\vec{n}}$'s which vanish on $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}}$. Obviously, we have

$$e_{\vec{n}}(\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}})=1\Leftrightarrow e(t\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\theta})=1\text{ for }\forall t\in\mathbb{R}\Leftrightarrow\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\theta}=0.$$

So $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}})$ are $e_{\vec{n}}$'s modulo the subgroup of $e_{\vec{n}}$'s with $\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\theta}=0$. Let $[e_{\vec{n}}]\neq 0$ denote a non trivial equivalence class of $e_{\vec{n}}$ in the quotient group, we calculate

$$\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T[e_{\vec{n}}]([\vec{x}]+[t\vec{\theta}])dt\right|=\left|\frac{e(\vec{n}\cdot\vec{x})}{T}\cdot\frac{e(T\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\theta})-1}{\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\theta}}\right|\leq\frac{2}{|T|\cdot|\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\theta}|}\to 0,T\to\infty.$$

The lemma is thus proved for $f=[e_{\vec{n}}]$, hence the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space generated by $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}})$, which is also a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\theta}})$. The lemma then follows by a standard application of the complex version of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. □

Corollary 3.4. *Consider the function $f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^n a_kx^{i\theta_k},x\in\mathbb{R}_+$, where $a_k\in\mathbb{C},\theta_k\in\mathbb{R},1\leq k\leq n$. If $\lim_{x\to+\infty}f(x)$ exists, then $f(x)$ is a constant function.*

Proof. Note that $f(e^{2\pi t})=\sum_{k=1}^n a_ke(t\theta_k),t\in\mathbb{R}$. If f is not constant, we can find $t_1,t_2\in\mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f(e^{2\pi t_1})\neq f(e^{2\pi t_2})$. By continuity, we then find some neighborhood U_1 of $[t_1\vec{\theta}]$, and U_2 of $[t_2\vec{\theta}]$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_ke(x_k)\neq\sum_{k=1}^n a_ke(y_k),\forall\vec{x}\in U_1,\vec{y}\in U_2.$$

But the flow $\gamma(t)=[t\vec{\theta}]$ meets both U_1 and U_2 infinitely often by the lemma, hence $\lim_{t\to\infty}f(e^{2\pi t})$ can not exist, contradicting the hypothesis. □

We now turn to functions on the automorphic quotient.

Definition 3.5. *Let $\varphi:\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})\to\mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. It is* slowly increasing *if for some $c\in\mathbb{R}$ and g lying in some Siegel domain we have*

$$|\varphi(g)|\ll\mathrm{Ht}(g)^c,\mathrm{Ht}(g)\to\infty.$$

Definition 3.6. *Let $\varphi : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a slowly increasing function. Its* regularizing kernel *$a(t,\varphi)$ is*

$$a(t,\varphi) = \int_{\mathbf{F}\backslash\mathbb{A}\times\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^{(1)}\times\mathbf{K}} \varphi(n(x)a(t^+y)k)dxd^\times ydk,$$

where $t^+ = s_{\mathbf{F}}(t)$ is the image of t under the section of the adelic norm map $\mathbb{A}^\times \to \mathbb{R}_+$ recalled in the beginning of this paper.

Definition 3.7. *We call a slowly increasing function $\varphi : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$* regularizable *if its regularizing kernel $a(t,\varphi)$ satisfies the condition (1) of Definition 3.1. In this case, we define for $s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re s \gg 1$*

$$R(s,\varphi) = \int_0^\infty (a(t,\varphi) - f(t))t^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t}; R^*(s,\varphi) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)R(s,\varphi).$$

The space of regularizable functions is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathrm{GL}_2)$.

Remark 3.8. *This is equivalent to saying $a(t,\varphi)$ regularizable, i.e., the condition (2) of Definition 3.1 is automatically satisfied due to the following Corollary 3.10.*

Lemma 3.9. *If $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}) - \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F})$, then we have $\mathrm{Ht}(\gamma g) \leq \mathrm{Ht}(g)^{-1}$.*

Proof. This is [10, Lemma 3.19]. □

Corollary 3.10. *If φ is slowly increasing as in Definition 3.5, then we have*

$$|\varphi(g)| \ll \mathrm{Ht}(g)^{\min(0,-c)}, \mathrm{Ht}(g) \to 0.$$

Proof. If $c \leq 0$, then it is easy to see that φ is bounded, since elements of bounded height in a Siegel domain form a compact subset and φ is continuous. The same argument shows that if $c < 0$ we can assume $|\varphi(g)| \ll \mathrm{Ht}(g)^c$ to hold in a whole Siegel domain S containing a fundamental domain. If $\mathrm{Ht}(g)$ is small, we take $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})$ such that $\gamma g \in S$, thus by the lemma we get

$$|\varphi(g)| = |\varphi(\gamma g)| \ll \mathrm{Ht}(\gamma g)^c \leq \mathrm{Ht}(g)^{-c}.$$

□

Recall the truncation operator Λ^c defined in [3, (5.5)]. Let $f_0 \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(1,1)$ be constant equal to 1 on $\mathbf{K}$.

Theorem 3.11. *(Adelic version of regularization due to Zagier [12])*

(1) *Let $\varphi : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a slowly increasing function. For $s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re s \gg 1$ and any $T \gg 1$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g)\Lambda^T\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)(g)dg = \int_0^T a(t,\varphi)t^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t} - \int_T^\infty a(t,\varphi)t^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t}\cdot\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)}.$$

(2) *If φ is, in addition, regularizable, then we have for $T \gg 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} &R^*(s,\varphi) + \Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)h_T(s) + \Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s)h_T(-s) \\ =& \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g)\Lambda^T\mathrm{E}^*(s,f_0)(g)dg + \\ &\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)\int_T^\infty (a(t,\varphi)-f(t))t^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t} + \Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s)\int_T^\infty (a(t,\varphi)-f(t))t^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $R(s,\varphi)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with possible poles at $s = \pm 1/2, \pm\alpha_i, (\rho-1)/2$ for ρ running over the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}$, and satisfies the functional equation

$$R^*(s,\varphi) = R^*(-s,\varphi).$$

(3) *Under the condition of (2), if* $\Re\alpha_i < 0$ *for all* $1 \le i \le l$*, then we have*

$$R(s,\varphi) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g)\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)(g)dg,\ \max_{1\le i\le l}\alpha_i < \Re s < -\max_{1\le i\le l}\alpha_i.$$

(4) *Under the condition of (2), if* φ *is integrable on* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$*, then we have* $\Re\alpha_i < 1/2$ *for all* $1 \le i \le l$*, and*

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g)dg = \frac{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)}\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}R(s,\varphi) + c_i\delta_{\substack{\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}\\ n_i=0}}.$$

Proof. Since the proofs of (1) to (3) are quite similar to that in [12], we only mention some essential points of them. Only (4) needs more explanation.
(1) This is standard Rankin-Selberg unfolding together with

$$\Lambda^T\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)(g) = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})} f_{0,s}(\gamma g)1_{\mathrm{Ht}(\gamma g)\le T} - \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})} \mathcal{M}f_{0,s}(\gamma g)1_{\mathrm{Ht}(\gamma g)>T}.$$

(2) It follows from rewriting the two terms at the right hand side of (1). For the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T a(t,\varphi)t^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t} &= R(s,\varphi) - \int_T^\infty (a(t,\varphi)-f(t))t^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t} + \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{c_i}{n_i!}\int_0^T t^{s+\alpha_i}\log^{n_i}t\frac{dt}{t}\\ &= R(s,\varphi) - \int_T^\infty (a(t,\varphi)-f(t))t^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{dt}{t} + h_T(s).\end{aligned}$$

For the second term we have a similar equality.
(3) In the case $\Re\alpha_i < 0$ for all i, we let $T\to\infty$, noting Proposition 2.30 and $h_T(s)\to 0$, to get the asserted equation.
(4) The integrability of φ implies $\Re\alpha_i < 1/2$ for all i by Lemma 3.2. We take residue at $s = 1/2$ on both sides of the equation obtained in (2) and divide by $\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)$ to see for $T \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}R(s,\varphi) + \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}h_T(s) + \frac{\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{F})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)}{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}h_T(-\frac{1}{2})\\ =\ &\frac{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)}{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}\int_{\mathcal{D}_T}\varphi(g)dg + \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}_T}\varphi(g)\left(\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)(g)-\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)_N(g)\right)dg\\ &+\frac{\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{F})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)}{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}\int_T^\infty (a(t,\varphi)-f(t))\frac{dt}{t^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the standard fundamental domain for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathcal{D}_T$ is the set of $g\in\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathrm{Ht}(g)\le T$. It is easy to see that as $T\to\infty$

$$h_T(-\frac{1}{2})\to 0;\ \int_T^\infty (a(t,\varphi)-f(t))\frac{dt}{t^2}\to 0.$$

By Proposition 2.30, $\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)(g)-\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)_N(g)$ is of uniformly rapid decay with respect to $\mathrm{Ht}(g), g\in\mathcal{D}$ as s remains in a compact neighborhood of $1/2$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}_T}\varphi(g)\left(\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)(g)-\mathrm{E}(s,f_0)_N(g)\right)dg$$

is holomorphic at $s = 1/2$. We calculate $\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}h_T(s)$ by noting that

$$\frac{1}{(s-\frac{1}{2})^{n_i-m+1}}\left\{T^{s-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{n=0}^{n_i-m}\frac{(s-\frac{1}{2})^n}{n!}\log^n T\right\}$$

is holomorphic at $s=1/2$, and get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}h_T(s)&=\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}}c_i\sum_{m=0}^{n_i}\frac{(-1)^{n_i-m}}{m!}\sum_{n=0}^{n_i-m}\frac{\log^{n+m}T}{n!(s-\frac{1}{2})^{n_i-m+1-n}}\\&=\sum_{\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}}c_i\sum_{m=0}^{n_i}\frac{(-1)^{n_i-m}}{m!}\frac{\log^{n_i}T}{(n_i-m)!}=c_i\delta_{\substack{\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}\\n_i=0}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.12. *We define the* regularized integral *of a regularizable function* $\varphi:\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})\to\mathbb{C}$ *as*

$$\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}\varphi(g)dg=\frac{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}{\Lambda^*_{\mathbf{F}}(1)}\left(\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}}R(s,\varphi)+c_i\delta_{\substack{\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}\\n_i=0}}\right),$$

where c_i,α_i,n_i *are associated with* $a(t,\varphi)$ *as in Definition 3.1. We call the first term the* principal part *of the regularized integral, the second the* degenerate part *of the regularized integral. The regularized integral is linear and extends the integral on* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathrm{GL}_2)\cap\mathrm{L}^1(\mathrm{GL}_2,1)$.

3.2. **Extended Spectral Decomposition.**

Definition 3.13. *Let* ω *be a unitary character of* $\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times$. *Let* φ *be a smooth function on* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ *with central character* ω. *We call* φ finitely regularizable *if there exist characters* $\chi_i:\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times\to\mathbb{C}^{(1)}$, $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C},n_i\in\mathbb{N}$ *and smooth functions* $f_i\in\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})\cap\mathbf{K}}(\chi_i,\omega\chi_i^{-1})$ *for* $1\le i\le l$, *such that for any* $M\gg1$

$$\varphi(n(x)a(y)k)=\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(n(x)a(y)k)+O(|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-M}),\ \text{as}\ |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\to\infty,$$

and we have written the essential constant term

$$\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(n(x)a(y)k)=\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}}(a(y)k)=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\chi_i(y)|y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_i}\log^{n_i}|y|_{\mathbb{A}}f_i(k).$$

In this case, we call $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}(\varphi)=\{\chi_i|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_i}:1\le i\le l\}$ *the* exponent set *of* φ, *and define*

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}^+(\varphi)=\{\chi_i|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_i}\in\mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}(\varphi):\Re\alpha_i\ge0\};\mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}^-(\varphi)=\{\chi_i|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_i}\in\mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}(\varphi):\Re\alpha_i<0\}.$$

The space of finitely regularizable functions with central character ω *is denoted by* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$.

Remark 3.14. *In the case* $\omega=1$, *a finitely regularizable is smooth and regularizable in the sense of Definition 3.7. But a smooth regularizable function doesn't need to be finitely regularizable.*

Definition 3.15. *In the case* $\omega^{-1}\xi^2(t)=|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{i\mu}$ *for some* $\mu\in\mathbb{R}$, *we introduce the* regularizing Eisenstein series *for* $f\in V^\infty_{\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}$ *and* s *in a neighborhood of* $(1-i\mu)/2$

$$\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(s,f)(g)=\mathrm{E}(s,f)(g)-\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s-i\mu)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s+i\mu)}\int_{\mathbf{K}}f(\kappa)d\kappa\cdot\chi^{-1}(\det g)|\det g|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{i\mu}{2}}.$$

It is holomorphic at $s=(1-i\mu)/2$.

Remark 3.16. *By Proposition 2.30, 2.33 and the definition of cusp forms,* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ *contains:*

- $\chi(\det g)$ *for quasi-characters* χ *such that* $\chi^2=\omega$;
- *smooth cusp forms, i.e.,* $\mathcal{A}^\infty_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$;
- $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}(s,f)$ *for some* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *and smooth* $f\in\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})}(\xi,\omega\xi^{-1})$ *with* $\Re s\ge0$ *and* $s\ne-i\mu/2,1/2-i\mu/2$ *for* $\mu=\mu(\omega^{-1}\xi^2)$ *if* $\omega\xi^{-2}$ *is trivial on* $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$;
- $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}|_{s=-i\frac{\mu}{2}}(s+i\frac{\mu}{2})\mathrm{E}^*(s,f)$ *for some* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *and smooth* f, μ *the same as above;*
- $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1-i\mu}{2},f)$ *for some* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *and* f,μ *the same as above;*
- $\varphi=\Pi_{j=1}^l\varphi_j$ *where* $\varphi_j\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega_j)$ *with* $\omega=\Pi_j\omega_j$.

In the last case, we have $\varphi^*_{\mathbf{N}} = \Pi_j \varphi^*_{j,\mathbf{N}}$. *Note that we have excluded* $\mathrm{E}(s,f)$ *for* $\Re s < 0$. *But they are actually present since they are related to the case* $\Re s > 0$ *by functional equation.*

Definition 3.17. *Let* ω *be a unitary character of* $\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times$. *The* L^2-residual space *of central character* ω, *denoted by* $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$, *is the vector space spanned by functions like*

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}(s,f), \text{if } s \neq \frac{1-i\mu}{2}; \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1-i\mu}{2}, f)$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re s > 0$ *and for some unitary character* ξ *of* $\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times$, $f \in V^\infty_{\xi,\omega\xi^{-1}}$ *and* μ *as above.*

We have the following simple fact of which we omit the proof.

Proposition 3.18. *Both* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ *and* $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ *are stable under right translation by* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$.

Proposition 3.19. *Let* $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$.

1. *We can always find (not unique)* $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ *such that* $\varphi - \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$.
2. *If for any* $\chi \in \mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}(\varphi)$, *we have* $\Re\chi \neq 1/2$, *then there is a unique function* $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ *such that* $\varphi - \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$. *Moreover, for any* X *in the universal enveloping algebra of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, *we have* $X.\varphi - X.\mathcal{E}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$, *i.e.,* $\mathcal{E}(X.\varphi) = X.\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 2.30, it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)\cap\mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}),\omega) = \{0\}$, which implies the uniqueness of $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ in (2). For the existence, we find $\chi_i, \alpha_i, n_i, f_i$ as in Definition 3.13. Then we take, writing $\mu_i = \mu(\omega^{-1}\chi_i^2)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \sum_{\substack{\Re\alpha_j>0\\ \alpha_j\neq\frac{1}{2}+i\mu_j}} \frac{\partial^{n_j}}{\partial s^{n_j}}\mathrm{E}(\alpha_j,\omega,\omega^{-1}\chi_j; f_j) + \sum_{\substack{\Re\alpha_j>0\\ \alpha_j=\frac{1}{2}+i\mu_j}} \frac{\partial^{n_j}}{\partial s^{n_j}}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\alpha_j,\omega,\omega^{-1}\chi_j; f_j). \tag{3.2}$$

Thus for any $\chi \in \mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}(\varphi - \mathcal{E}(\varphi))$, we have $\Re\chi \leq 1/2$ resp. $\Re\chi < 1/2$ under the condition in (2). Hence $\varphi - \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$ resp. $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{GL}_2,\omega)$. For the "moreover" part, it suffices to see that the differential operator X does not increase the real part of elements in $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{x}(\varphi)$, which is essentially due to the following calculation:

$$y\frac{d}{dy}(y^\sigma \log^k y) = y^\sigma \log^k y + k y^\sigma \log^{k-1} y, \forall y > 0, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

Definition 3.20. *In the case (2), we call* $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ *the* L^2*-residue of* φ. *For definiteness, we shall write* $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ *to be the one given by (3.2).*

Proposition 3.21. (1) *For any* $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,1)$, *we have*

$$\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{E}(g)dg = 0.$$

(2) *Let* $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,1)$. *For any* $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,1)$ *such that* $\varphi - \mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}))$ *we have*

$$\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(g)dg = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} (\varphi - \mathcal{E})(g)dg.$$

In particular, $\int^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}$ *is a* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$*-invariant functional on* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{GL}_2,1)$.

Proof. For (1), we calculate $a(t,\mathcal{E})$ for $\mathcal{E}(g) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}(s,f)$ with $s \neq 1/2 - i\mu(\chi)$ resp. $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2} - i\mu(\chi), f)$ for some $f \in V^\infty_{\chi,\chi^{-1}}$ with $\Re s > 0$, a unitary character χ of $\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^\times$ if $\chi\,|_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^{(1)}} = 1$. Due to the integral $\int_{\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^{(1)}} d^\times y$, it is easy to see that $a(t,\varphi)$ is non-vanishing only if χ is trivial on $\mathbf{F}^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}^{(1)}$, in which case $\mu(\omega\chi^2) = 2\mu(\chi)$. We also notice that we can interchange the order of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\int_{\mathbf{K}} dk$ since they commute with each other.

- $\mathcal{E}(g)=\dfrac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}(s,f)$ with $s\neq 1/2-i\mu(\chi)$: We get

$$a(t,\mathcal{E})=\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\int_{\mathbf{K}}f(k)dk\cdot\left\{t^{\frac{1}{2}+s+i\mu(\chi)}\log^n t+\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}-s-i\mu(\chi)}\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s-2i\mu(\chi))}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s+2i\mu(\chi))}\right)\right\},$$

  and conclude by the fact that $a(t,\mathcal{E})$ has no constant term as a function of t.
- $\mathcal{E}(g)=\dfrac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}-i\mu(\chi),f)$: We get

$$\begin{aligned}a(t,\mathcal{E})&=\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\int_{\mathbf{K}}f(k)dk\cdot\\&\quad\left\{t\log^n t+\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mid_{s=\frac{1}{2}-i\mu(\chi)}\left((t^{\frac{1}{2}-s-i\mu(\chi)}-1)\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1-2s-2i\mu(\chi))}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s+2i\mu(\chi))}\right)\right\}\\&=\zeta_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)\int_{\mathbf{K}}f(k)dk\cdot\\&\quad\left\{t\log^n t+\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n}{l}\frac{(-1)^l}{l+1}\cdot\log^{l+1}t\cdot\frac{d^{n-l}}{ds^{n-l}}\mid_{s=0}\left(\frac{s\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2-2s)}\right)\right\},\end{aligned}$$

  and conclude the same way as in the previous case.

For (2), we just note that if $\varphi-\mathcal{E}\in\mathrm{L}^1$ then $\mathrm{R}(g).\varphi-\mathrm{R}(g).\mathcal{E}\in\mathrm{L}^1$ for any $g\in\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, and $\mathrm{R}(g).\mathcal{E}\in\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{GL}_2,1)$. □

4. A Triple Product Formula

We observe that given (not necessary generic) three automorphic representations $\pi_j, j=1,2,3$ with central characters ω_j satisfying $\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3=1$, we have constructed a continuous $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$-invariant trilinear form

$$\ell(\mathrm{reg};\cdot):\pi_1^\infty\times\pi_2^\infty\times\pi_3^\infty\to\mathbb{C},\ell(\mathrm{reg};\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3)=\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}\varphi_1(g)\varphi_2(g)\varphi_3(g)dg,$$

by Proposition 2.30 (as well as its counterpart for cuspidal case given in [11, Section 2.6.2]), Proposition 3.18 and Proposition 3.21. We remark that if $\pi_j=\pi(\omega\chi,\chi^{-1})$ is an (not necessarily unitary) Eisenstein series, the smooth structure is defined by the "Eisenstein norm" defined in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{E}}$ [11, Lemma 2.8].

In this section, we are going to study a special case (1.1). First, in order to properly define $\ell(s)$, we must specify a family of sections for $\pi_{1/2+s}^\infty$. To this end, we choose normalized Eisenstein series $\mathrm{E}^*(0,\cdot)$ for the automorphic realization of π_0, and sections in π_s given by $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. We recall the definition of normalized Eisenstein series for $f\in V_{1,1}^\infty$

$$\mathrm{E}^*(s,f)=\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)\mathrm{E}(s,f).$$

Definition 4.1. *Let* $f_j\in\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(1,1)^\infty=\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}\pi_s^\infty, j=1,2,3$. *Define*

$$\varphi_1=\mathrm{E}^*(0,f_1),\varphi_2=\mathrm{E}^*(0,f_2),\varphi_3(s)=\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}+s,f_3).$$

The regularized family of trilinear form $\ell(s)=\ell(s,\mathrm{reg})$ *is defined as*

$$\pi_0^\infty\times\pi_0^\infty\times\pi_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^\infty\to\mathbb{C},\ell(s,\mathrm{reg};\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3(s))=\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}\varphi_1(g)\varphi_2(g)\varphi_3(s,g)dg.$$

More generally for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, *we write* $\varphi_3^{(n)}(s)=\dfrac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\varphi_3(s)$ *and define*

$$\ell(s,\mathrm{reg},n;\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3(s))=\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}}\varphi_1(g)\varphi_2(g)\varphi_3^{(n)}(s,g)dg.$$

Next, note that there exist $f_1', f_2' \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A})\cap\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(1,1) = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}\pi_s, j = 1,2,3$ such that

$$\varphi_{j,\mathbf{N}}^*(a(y)k) = \varphi_{j,\mathbf{N}}(a(y)k) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_j(k) + |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \log|y|_{\mathbb{A}} f_j'(k), j = 1,2,$$

$$\varphi_{3,\mathbf{N}}^*(s; a(y)k) = \varphi_{3,\mathbf{N}}(s; a(y)k) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{1+s} f_3(k) + |y|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-s} \mathcal{M} f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s}(k) - \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)} \int_{\mathbf{K}} f_3(k)dk.$$

The maps from the automorphic model of π_0 to the induced model of itself for $j = 1,2$

$$\varphi_j \mapsto f_j'$$

are well-defined linear maps. Note also that the intertwining operator

$$\mathcal{M} : \pi_{\frac{1}{2}+s} \to \pi_{-\frac{1}{2}-s}, f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s} \mapsto \mathcal{M} f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s}$$

is meromorphic in s admitting a simple pole at $s = 0$. We write its Laurent expansion at $s = 0$ as

$$\mathcal{M} f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s} = \frac{1}{s}\mathcal{M}^* f_{3,\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n!} \mathcal{M}^{(n)} f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We thus obtain a family of well-defined linear maps

$$\pi_{\frac{1}{2}} \to \pi_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \varphi_3(0) \mapsto \mathcal{M}^{(n)} f_{3,\frac{1}{2}} \text{ or } \mathcal{M}^* f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We record the following Laurent expansion

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(s) = \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2-2s)} = \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)}{s} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)}(0) \frac{s^n}{n!}.$$

Definition 4.2. *For $j = 1,2$ we write $f_j^{(0)} = f_j, f_j^{(1)} = f_j'$. Define the index*

$$\vec{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3) \in \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{*\}).$$

We call the following the degenerate trilinear forms*:*

$$\ell(\vec{\epsilon}, \mathrm{dgn}) : \pi_0^\infty \times \pi_0^\infty \times \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \to \mathbb{C}, \ell(\vec{\epsilon}; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3(0)) \mapsto \int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1^{(\epsilon_1)}(k) f_2^{(\epsilon_2)}(k) \mathcal{M}^{(\epsilon_3)} f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk.$$

We turn back to the analytic property of $\ell(s)$ near $s = 0$. Notice that for $s \neq 0$, the L^2-residual part $\mathcal{E}(s) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3(s))$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(s) = {} & \mathrm{E}(\frac{3}{2}+s, f_1f_2f_3) + \mathrm{E}^{(1)}(\frac{3}{2}+s, (f_1f_2' + f_1'f_2)f_3) + \mathrm{E}^{(2)}(\frac{3}{2}+s, f_1'f_2'f_3) \\ & + \mathrm{E}(\frac{1}{2}-s, f_1f_2\mathcal{M}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s}) + \mathrm{E}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{2}-s, (f_1f_2' + f_1'f_2)\mathcal{M}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s}) + \mathrm{E}^{(2)}(\frac{1}{2}-s, f_1'f_2'\mathcal{M}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s}),\end{aligned}$$

where the derivative is taken with respect to the first variable. We also notice that

$$\mathcal{E}(s,n) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3^{(n)}(s)) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}\mathcal{E}(s), s \neq 0.$$

Even though our family $\varphi_3(s)$ is holomorphic at $s = 0$, $\mathcal{E}(s)$ is not. In fact, two places create poles: $\mathrm{E}(1/2-s,\cdot)$ itself and $\mathcal{M}f_{3,1/2+s}$. We need to study the Laurent expansion of $\mathcal{E}(s)$. Take the first term

in the second line for example. Inserting the expansion of $\mathcal{M}f_{3,1/2+s}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(s)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.1)\qquad & \mathrm{E}(\frac{1}{2}-s, f_1f_2\mathcal{M}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}+s}) \\
&= \frac{1}{s^2}\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)dk + \frac{1}{s}\left\{\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2)\right. \\
&\left.+\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(0)}(0)\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)dk + \lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)\mathcal{M}^{(0)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk\right\} \\
&+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{s^n}{n!}\left\{\frac{(-1)^{n+1}\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n+1)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2)}{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2\mathcal{M}^{(k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}})+\right. \\
&\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(n+1)}(0)}{n+1}\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)dk + \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)}{n+1}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk+ \\
&\left.\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(n-k)}(0)\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)\mathcal{M}^{(k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{3,\mathbf{N}}^{(n)}(0, a(y)k) = |y|_{\mathbb{A}}\log^n|y|_{\mathbb{A}}f_3(k) + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+1}\log^{n+1}|y|_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(n)}(0)}{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)}\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}} \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k\log^k|y|_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{M}^{(n-k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k).
\end{aligned}
$$

From which we deduce

(4.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(0,n) &= \mathrm{E}^{(n)}(\frac{3}{2}, f_1f_2f_3) + \mathrm{E}^{(n+1)}(\frac{3}{2}, (f_1f_2'+f_1'f_2)f_3) + \mathrm{E}^{(n+2)}(\frac{3}{2}, f_1'f_2'f_3) \\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k\left\{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2\mathcal{M}^{(n-k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}})+\right. \\
&\left.\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k+1)}(\frac{1}{2}, (f_1f_2'+f_1'f_2)\mathcal{M}^{(n-k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(k+2)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1'f_2'\mathcal{M}^{(n-k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}})\right\} \\
&+\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+1}\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n+1)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2) + \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n+2)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2'+f_1'f_2) + \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(n+3)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1'f_2')\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing (4.1) with (4.2), we see that the contribution of $\mathrm{E}(1/2-s, f_1f_2\mathcal{M}f_{3,1/2+s})$ to the pole of $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg}, n)$ is the sum of

$$
-\frac{(-1)^n(n+1)!}{s^{n+2}}\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)dk \quad \text{and}
$$

$$
\frac{(-1)^{n+1}n!}{s^{n+1}}\left\{\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, f_1f_2) + \lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(0)}(0)\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)dk + \lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)\mathcal{M}^{(0)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk\right\};
$$

and the contribution of $\mathrm{E}(1/2-s, f_1f_2\mathcal{M}f_{3,1/2+s})$ to $\ell^{\mathrm{hol}}(0, \mathrm{reg}, n) - \ell(0, \mathrm{reg}, n)$ is the sum of

$$
-\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(n+1)}(0)}{n+1}\mathcal{M}^*f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)dk, -\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(0)}{n+1}\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk
$$

$$
\text{and } -\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^{(n-k)}(0)\int_{\mathbf{K}} f_1(k)f_2(k)\mathcal{M}^{(k)}f_{3,\frac{1}{2}}(k)dk.
$$

Examining the Laurent expansion of $\mathrm{E}^{(1)}(1/2-s, (f_1f_2'+f_1'f_2)\mathcal{M}f_{3,1/2+s})$ and $\mathrm{E}^{(2)}(1/2-s, f_1'f_2'\mathcal{M}f_{3,1/2+s})$ in a similar way, we obtain the main result Theorem 1.1.

Finally, if $s \neq 0$ then each of $\pi_0, \pi_{1/2+s}$ is irreducible and admissible. By [9, Theorem 1.1 & 1.3], the space of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$-invariant trilinear forms on $\pi_0 \times \pi_0 \times \pi_{1/2+s}$ is of dimension one. One can construct such form using Rankin-Selberg method as in [8, Section 3.4.1]:

$$\ell(s, \mathrm{RS}) : \pi_0^\infty \times \pi_0^\infty \times \pi_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^\infty \to \mathbb{C},$$

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3(s)) \mapsto \prod_v \int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times \times \mathbf{K}_v}^* W_{1,v}(a(y)k; \psi_v) W_{2,v}(a(y)k; \overline{\psi_v}) f_3(k) |y|_v^s d^\times y dk,$$

where W_j is the Whittaker function of φ_j for $j = 1, 2$ and the normalized local integrals are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times \times \mathbf{K}_v}^* W_{1,v}(a(y)k; \psi_v) W_{2,v}(a(y)k; \overline{\psi_v}) f_3(k) |y|_v^s d^\times y dk \\ &= \mathbf{C}(\psi_v)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \frac{\zeta_v(2+2s)}{\zeta_v(1+s)^4} \int_{\mathbf{F}_v^\times \times \mathbf{K}_v} W_{1,v}(a(y)k; \psi_v) W_{2,v}(a(y)k; \overline{\psi_v}) f_3(k) |y|_v^s d^\times y dk. \end{aligned}$$

Note that our normalization is made in such a way that $\ell(s, \mathrm{RS})$ sends the spherical vectors to 1.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.2) The proportionality of $\ell(s, \mathrm{reg})$ and $\ell(s, \mathrm{RS})$ is a consequence of one-dimensionality of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$-invariant trilinear forms. By our normalization of $\ell(s, \mathrm{RS})$ and choice of sections, we apply both forms to the spherical vectors and see

$$c_{\mathbf{F}}(s) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)(g)^2 \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2} + s, f_0)(g) dg,$$

where $f_0 \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{K}}(1, 1)$ is the spherical function taking value 1 on $\mathbf{K}$. Writing

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)^2),$$

and using Proposition 3.21 (3) we can then decompose for $-1 < \Re s < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathbf{F}}(s) = &-\frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(-2s)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2+2s)} \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)(g)^2 dg \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathcal{E}_0(g) \mathrm{E}(\frac{1}{2} + s, f_0)(g) dg \\ &+ \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})} \left(\mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)^2 - \mathcal{E}_0\right)(g) \mathrm{E}(\frac{1}{2} + s, f_0)(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms are reduced to the standard Rankin-Selberg convolution by definition. For example, we have

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{Z}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)(g)^2 dg = \frac{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)} \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} R(s, \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)^2) = \frac{2\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(2)}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)} \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{F})^{s-1} \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(\frac{1}{2}+s)^4}{\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}(1+2s)}.$$

The last term is also reduced to the standard Rankin-Selberg convolution by Theorem 3.11 (3), i.e., it is equal to

$$R(\frac{1}{2} + s, \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)^2 - \mathcal{E}_0) = R(\frac{1}{2} + s, \mathrm{E}^*(0, f_0)^2) - R(\frac{1}{2} + s, \mathcal{E}_0).$$

We conclude by giving the explicit expression of

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1)^2 \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(2)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_0) + 4\Lambda_{\mathbf{F}}^*(1) \gamma_{\mathbf{F}} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg},(1)}(\frac{1}{2}, f_0) + 4\gamma_{\mathbf{F}}^2 \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\frac{1}{2}, f_0).$$

□

Acknowledgement

The preparation of the paper begins at the end of the author's stay in FIM at ETHZ and ends at the beginning of the author's stay in YMSC at Tsinghua University. The author would like to thank both institutes for their hospitality.

References

[1] Bump, D. *Automorphic Forms and Representations*. No. 55 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[2] Conrey, J., and Sloane, N. *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed., vol. 290 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[3] Gelbart, S. S., and Jacquet, H. Forms of GL(2) from the analytic point of view. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* (1979), vol. 33, pp. 213–251.

[4] Ichino, A. Trilinear forms and the central values of triple product L-functions. *Duke Mathematical Journal 145*, 2 (2008), 281–307.

[5] Jacquet, H. Integral representation of Whittaker functions. In *Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry & Number Theory*, H. Hida, D. Ramakrishnan, and F. Shahidi, Eds. The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004, ch. 15, pp. 373–419.

[6] Jacquet, H., and Shalika, J. Rankin-Selberg convolutions: Archimedean theory. In *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday* (Jerusalem, 1990), vol. Part I, Weizmann Science Press, pp. 125–207.

[7] Mackey, G. W. *Unitary Group Representations in Physics, Probability, and Number Theory*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., 1978.

[8] Michel, P., and Venkatesh, A. The subconvexity problem for GL_2. *Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS 111*, 1 (June 2010), 171–271.

[9] Prasad, D. Trilinear forms for representations of GL(2) and local ε-factors. *Compositio Mathematica 75*, 1 (1990), 1–46.

[10] Wu, H. A note on spectral analysis for GL_2: I. arxiv: 1609.08742.

[11] Wu, H. Burgess-like subconvex bounds for $GL_2 \times GL_1$. *Geometric and Functional Analysis 24*, 3 (2014), 968–1036.

[12] Zagier, D. The Rankin-Selberg method for automorphic functions which are not of rapid decay. *Journal of the Faculty of Science 28* (1982), 415–438.

Han WU
302, Jin Chun Yuan West Building
YMSC, Tsinghua University
10084, Beijing
China
wuhan1121@yahoo.com